WILMAPCO Council Action Item Summary Sheet

Meeting Date: March 9, 2023

<u>Action Item #11:</u> To Amend the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

<u>Description/Summary of Item:</u> Cecil County has requested that the TIP be amended to include revised funding for the Mechanics Valley Road over CSX bridge. Prior funding in the FY 2020-2023 TIP was FY 2020 Construction, \$8.12 million FHWA and \$2.03 million County (\$11.238 million). Funds have shifted to FY 2024 and are now \$8.675 million FHWA and \$2.35 million County (\$11.025 million).

Summary of Action Taken by PAC: The PAC did not take action on this agenda item.

<u>Summary of Action Taken by TAC:</u> The TAC recommended Council approval at their February 16 meeting.

<u>Summary of Action Taken by Subcommittee/Task Force (if applicable):</u> The AQS recommended Council approval at their March 2 meeting.

WILMAPCO Staff Recommendations: WILMAPCO staff recommends Council approval.

Wilmington Area Planning Council

The Tower at STAR Campus 100 Discovery Blvd, Suite 800 Newark DE 19713 302-737-6205; Fax 302-286-7131 e-mail: wilmapco@wilmapco.org website: www.wilmapco.org

DRAFT RESOLUTION BY THE WILMINGTON AREA PLANNING COUNCIL (WILMAPCO)

WILMAPCO Council:

John Sisson, Chair Delaware Transit Corporation Chief Executive Officer

David L. Edgell
Delaware Office of State Planning
Coordination, Director

Danielle Hornberger Cecil County Executive

Michael Kline Mayor of North East

Nicole Majeski Delaware Dept. of Transportation Secretary

Matthew Meyer New Castle County Executive

Heather Murphy *Maryland Dept. of Transportation Director, Office of Planning and*

Capital Programming

Michael S. Purzycki

Mayor of Wilmington

Eric Scott Thompson

WILMAPCO Executive Director Tigist Zegeye AMENDING THE FY 2023-2026 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, CECIL COUNTY ELEMENT

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) has been designated the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Cecil County, Maryland and New Castle County, Delaware by the Governors of Maryland and Delaware, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Regulations of Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), Metropolitan Planning Requirements, require that, in air quality non-attainment areas, the MPO, in cooperation with participants in the planning process, develop and, at least every four years, updates the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the WILMAPCO TIP format incorporates a four-year period for the listing of priority projects to be implemented, as well as a list of program development projects; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2023-2026 TIP has undergone appropriate community and technical reviews; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the TIP has been determined to be air quality conforming in accordance with IIJA and Clean Air Act and Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 requirements; and;

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2023-2026 TIP have been found to be financially constrained, as directed by 23 CFR 450.326, and consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Wilmington Area Planning Council does hereby amend the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program to include updated funding for the Mechanics Valley Road Bridge over CSX project.

Date:	John Sisson, Chairperson
	Wilmington Area Planning Council



TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM SUBMISSION/AMENDMENT FORM

This form must be completed and all questions must be answered in order to process this request. Date of Submission: TIP to be Amended: FY 2023-2026_____ Sponsoring Agency: ___Cecil County, Maryland_____ Project Name: Replacement of Bridge CE0042 Mechanics Valley Road over CSX Project Category: _System Preservation_____ **Project Description:** The project will replace the existing bridge with a new, modern bridge on a new alignment that will also improve the intersection of Mechanics Valley Road with Bouchelle Road **Project Justification:** Bridge is in poor condition and is functionally obsolete **Funding:** Federal 80% (STBG) State Local 20% Total 100%

Funding Source	Phase	Current Estimate Total	FY_2024_	FY	FY	FY	Total
FHWA		8675	8675				8675
Local		2350	2350				2350
Total		11025	11025				11025

1.	Does this project require a new conformity determination? No
	tion 93.104(c)(2)) "A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by MPO or accepted by DOT, unless the amendment merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in § 93.126 or § 93.127".
2.	Is this project regionally significant? _Yes
not Inte Cha with	tion 23 CFR 450.326 (f)) "The TIP shall contain all regionally significant projects requiring an action by the FHWA or the FTA whether or the projects are to be funded under title 23 U.S.C. Chapters 1 and 2 or title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 (e.g., addition of an interchange to the rstate System with State, local, and/or private funds and congressionally designated projects not funded under 23 U.S.C. or 49 U.S.C. pter 53). For public information and conformity purposes, the TIP shall include all regionally significant projects proposed to be funded a Federal funds other than those administered by the FHWA or the FTA, as well as all regionally significant projects to be funded with non-eral funds."
3.	Has this project had the opportunity for public comment? Yes
(Sec	tion 450.328(a)) "The MPO(s) shall use public participation procedures consistent with § 450.316(a) in revising the TIP, except that se procedures are not required for administrative modifications."
4.	Has this project been found to be financially constrained? Yes
(Sec	tion 450.326(k)) "The TIP shall include a project, or a phase of a project, only if full funding can reasonably be anticipated to be available the project within the time period contemplated for completion of the project"
Ple	ase indicate funding sources by agency:
	ecil County ederal Aid Bridge Replacement Program
5.	Is this project consistent with the WILMAPCO Regional Transportation Plan? _Yes(Section 450.326i) "Each project or project phase included in the TIP shall be consistent with the approved metropolitan transportation plan."
If n	ot, is there a resolution to amend the Regional Transportation Plan?
6.	Does the project include complete streets elements (i.e. pedestrian, bicycle, or transit improvements? Please describe: No. It is a bridge replacement
7.	Please provide any additional pertinent information below:



Transportation Improvement Program Submission/Amendment

Description of Public Participation

Open Information Meeting with display boards and multiple representatives from the County answering questions from the attendees n(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees sue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance improved sus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the sixally Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised Mixed, equal support and opposition ved issues identified: Mixed issues identified: where the country is a support is a support is a support in the project was identified Mixed, equal support and opposition wed issues identified: Mixed issues identified: where the country is a support is a support is a support in the project was form in the country is a support in the co
answering questions from the attendees n(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland r of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees sue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance improved sus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the s Valley Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
n(s): North East Fire Hall, North East, Maryland r of attendees: 30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees sue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance improved_ sus of meeting: Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the s Valley Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised Mixed, equal support and opposition
r of attendees:30 signed the attendance sheet, however estimated a total of 45 attendees sue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distanceimproved_ sus of meeting:Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the s Valley Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one):X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
sue raised: Length of construction; proposed detour and length; will the intersection site distance improved sus of meeting: _ Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the six Valley Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
improved sus of meeting: _ Significant majority of attendees if favor of the project since it will improve the six Valley Road and Bouchelle Road the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition
the public support for the project was (check one): X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
X Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Some support, but some concerns raised Strong opposition, major problems identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
Some support, but some concerns Strong opposition, major problems raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
raised identified Mixed, equal support and opposition
ved issues identified:
/Steering Committee
r surveyed:
briefings
inty installed multiple static signs in the project area informing the public of a public informationa
e date and time identified
ied about the project?
Publications Distribution:
Newsletter/brochure
Flyers
<u>———</u>
: F