



GOVERNOR PRINTZ BOULEVARD CORRIDOR STUDY PUBLIC WORKSHOP

September 21, 2020

WILMAPCO









Welcome!



Heather Dunigan Principal Planner WILMAPCO











Virtual Workshop Logistics

What do I do if I can't hear? You can listen via your computer speakers or by calling in on your phone. To listen to the audio via your phone, please call:

Phone number:1 (914) 614-3221Access Code:183-418-111

Participants will be muted during the presentation









Virtual Workshop Logistics

How do I ask a question? Please use the questions function during the workshop to ask questions. Presenters will do their best to answer your questions during the Q&A portion of the event. If you are unable to use the Questions box, please email your question to rnovakoff@wilmapco.org











Virtual Workshop Logistics

Can I view and comment on virtual workshop materials after the event?

You will have the opportunity to view and comment on all Virtual Workshop materials by visiting:

www.wilmapco.org/governorprintz

This presentation will be recorded and made available to the public



Agenda

7:00 Welcome/introductions and presentation

7:40 Moderated question and answer period (enter your questions in the Questions box at any time)



Study Area











Project Partners

- Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO)
- Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT)
- Delaware Transit Corporation (DTC)
- New Castle County Department of Land Use
- Delaware Greenways



Advisory Committee Members

- Land owners, businesses, civic entities, and elected officials
- City of Wilmington
- New Castle County Chamber of Commerce
- Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC)
- Claymont Renaissance Development Corporation (DRAC)
- Council of Civic Organizations of Brandywine Hundred (CCOBH)
- Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination
- East Coast Greenway Alliance



Purpose and desired outcome

- Improve mobility, safety, and travel choices while supporting sustainable economic development and enhanced neighborhood vitality
- Identify and assess the feasibility of multimodal transportation projects that will accommodate current and future transportation and land use needs, including walking, bicycle travel, transit, and roadway



Planning activities

- 1. Issues and constraints
- 2. Community visioning co
- 3. Develop initial transportation concepts for analysis COMPLETE
- 4. Feasibility assessment of preferred we are here
- 5. Preferred concept and final report



COMPLETE





Leah Kacanda Whitman, Requardt & Associates, LLP











Public Workshop

- Held on January 29
- 81 attendees
- Broke into small groups to identify and prioritize goals for each user group
- Major priorities include:
 - Connection to Fox Point State Park for people who walk and people who bike
 - Protected facilities for people who walk and people who bike
 - Road reconfiguration (diet)



Online Survey

- Survey posted online after public workshop from February 4-February 29
- 75 participants
- Asked participants to prioritize improvements identified at the public workshop
- Major priorities include:
 - Improve access to Fox Point State Park for people who walk and people who bike
 - Improve facilities for people who walk and people who bike
 - Maintain capacity of roadway









- People who walk
- People who bike
- People who take transit
- People who drive
- All users











- People who walk
 - Provide continuous separated sidewalks or pathways along the entire corridor
 - Provide pedestrian access to Fox Point Park
 - Improve pedestrian access to nearby destinations
- People who bike
- People who take transit
- People who drive
- All users



- People who walk
- People who bike
 - Provide low-stress bicycle facilities along the entire corridor
 - Provide low-stress bicycle access to Fox Point Park
 - Improve bicyclist access to nearby destinations
- People who take transit
- People who drive
- All users



- People who walk
- People who bike
- People who take transit
 - Provide additional bus stops and shelters along the corridor
 - Improve access to Claymont Train Station
- People who drive
- All users



- People who walk
- People who bike
- People who take transit
- People who drive
 - Slow speeding traffic
 - Evaluate best way to allocate roadway space
- All users



- People who walk
- People who bike
- People who take transit
- People who drive
- All users
 - Improve lighting
 - Green the corridor
 - Improve stormwater management



Concept Development



Mike Campbell Whitman, Requardt & Associates, LLP











Study Area













Existing Conditions











Existing Conditions







DelDOT





Existing Conditions







DelDOT





Future changes along the corridor

- New Claymont Transportation Center
- Potential new road connecting Tri-State Mall, Claymont Steel Property, and Knollwood Development, and relocated Claymont train station
- Reimagining Philadelphia Pike as a main street
- Proposed new alignment for the East Coast Greenway
- Proposed development in Claymont
- Port development at Edgemoor









Concept Development: Fox Point



Concept Development

Stoney Creek connection:





- 10' min. overhead clearance requirement requires significant excavation under two I-495 bridge spans
- Trail crosses under several major railroad entities including an Amtrak maintenance road bridge, two separate Amtrak high speed rail bridges, and one NS Corp. freight rail bridge
- Confluence of Stoney Creek floods twice daily at high tide at NS Corp. bridge opening







Concept Development: Fox Point

Edgemoor Road connection:





- There are currently no crosswalks at the intersection of Governor Printz and Edgemoor Road
- Existing sidewalk is 8' wide throughout part of of corridor
- There is insufficient right-of-way for a trail connection along Lighthouse Road due to truck traffic



Concept Development: Fox Point



Concept Development

Existing conditions:



- 4 lane divided minor arterial
- Right-of-way varies between 100' to 120'
- 50 mph
- Shoulders marked as bike lanes



Concept development

Alternative 1a:



- Shared use pathway on east (northbound) side with shoulder and median reduction
- Pedestrian/bicycle crossings across Governor Printz Boulevard will have to be installed so people can access pathway
- Frequency of crossings to be determined, but at a minimum at Governor Printz Boulevard Extension, Cauffiel Parkway, and Edgemoor Road



Mid-Block Crossing Example



Source: Dan Burden





DelDOT





Concept development

Alternative 1b:



• Shared use pathway on west (southbound) side with shoulder and median reduction



Cross-Street Crossing Example



Source: the Rural Design Guide



Alternative 1 Pros & Cons

East Pathway (Alt 1a)	West Pathway (Alt 1b)
 ✓ Direct access to Claymont Transportation Center and Edgemoor 	 Direct access to neighborhoods and Bellevue State Park without needing to cross Governor Printz Boulevard
X Requires crossing Governor Printz Boulevard to access pathway	X Requires crossing 57 driveways and 26 unsignalized cross streets

Both 1A and 1B:

- Low cost to maintain
- X High cost to implement


Concept development





- Convert northbound travel lanes to pedestrian promenade with shared use path
- Pedestrian/bicycle crossings across Governor Printz Boulevard will have to be installed so people can access pathway
- Frequency of crossings to be determined, but at a minimum at Governor Printz Boulevard Extension, Cauffiel Parkway, and Edgemoor Road



Concept development

Alternative 2b:



- Convert southbound travel lanes to pedestrian promenade with shared use path
- Cross streets and driveways will have to be extended across promenade to reach roadway



Alternative 2 Pros & Cons

East Pathway (Alt 2a)	West Pathway (Alt 2b)		
 ✓ Direct access to Claymont Transportation Center and Edgemoor 	 Direct access to neighborhoods and Bellevue State Park without needing to cross Governor Printz Boulevard 		
X Requires crossing Governor Printz Boulevard to access pathway	X Requires crossing 57 driveways and 26 unsignalized cross streets		

Both 2A and 2B:

- ✓ Low-moderate cost to implement
- X Medium cost to maintain



Concept development





- Northbound and southbound lane reconfiguration with shared use path on either/both sides
- Maintains existing center turn lanes and existing median



Alternative 3 Pros & Cons

Provides pathway on both sides
 Easiest alternative to implement
 Moderate cost to implement
 X High cost to maintain









Cost Comparison

	Alternative 1a	Alternative 1b	Alternative 2a	Alternative 2b	Alternative 3
Difficulty of implementation	High	Medium	Medium	High	Low
Cost of implementation	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$	\$\$\$	\$\$
Cost of maintenance	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
Interim implementation possible	No	No	Yes	No	Yes



Traffic Impact Analysis



Traffic Impact Analysis



Governor Printz Boulevard Corridor Study Sections

Alternative comparison





We want to hear from you! Take the survey at <u>www.wilmapco.org/governorprintz</u> to give us YOUR feedback.

Thank you!

Next Steps

- If you provided your email address, you will receive notice when the draft report is available
- Follow our progress at <u>www.wilmapco.org/governorprintz</u>
- Questions or concerns?

Contact Heather Dunigan at hdunigan@wilmapco.org or 302-737-6205 ext 118





Q&A

Enter questions in the box on the right

If you have issues entering a question,

email Randi at rnovakoff@wilmapco.org