Wilmington Area Planning Council

The Tower at STAR Campus 100 Discovery Blvd, Suite 800 Newark DE 19713 302-737-6205; Fax 302-286-7131 e-mail: wilmapco@wilmapco.org website: www.wilmapco.org

WILMAPCO Council:

John Sisson, Chair Delaware Transit Corporation Chief Executive Officer

David L. Edgell

Delaware Office of State Planning

Coordination, Director

Danielle Hornberger Cecil County Executive

Michael Kline Mayor of North East

Nicole Majeski
Delaware Dept. of Transportation
Secretary

Matthew Meyer New Castle County Executive

Heather Murphy Maryland Dept. of Transportation Director, Office of Planning and Capital Programming

Michael S. Purzycki
Mayor of Wilmington

Eric Scott Thompson Mayor of Elsmere

WILMAPCO Executive Director Tigist Zegeve

DRAFT RESOLUTION

BY THE WILMINGTON AREA PLANNING COUNCIL (WILMAPCO)

AMENDING THE FY 2020-2023 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, DELAWARE STATEWIDE ELEMENT

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) has been designated the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Cecil County, Maryland and New Castle County, Delaware by the Governors of Maryland and Delaware, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Regulations of Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST), Metropolitan Planning Requirements, require that, in air quality non-attainment areas, the MPO, in cooperation with participants in the planning process, develop and, at least every four years, updates the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the WILMAPCO TIP format incorporates a four-year period for the listing of priority projects to be implemented, as well as a list of program development projects; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2020-2023 TIP has undergone appropriate community and technical reviews; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the TIP has been determined to be air quality conforming in accordance with FAST and Clean Air Act and Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 requirements; and;

WHEREAS, the amendment to the FY 2020-2023 TIP have been found to be financially constrained, as directed by 23 CFR 450.326, and consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Wilmington Area Planning Council does hereby amend the FY 2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program to include the Automated/Dynamic Paratransit Scheduling project.

Date:	John Sisson, Chairperson
	Wilmington Area Planning Council



VILMAPCO

Partners with you in transportation planning

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AMENDMENT FORM

This form must be completed and all questions must be answered in order to process this request.

Date of Submission:	2/22/22	
TIP to be Amended:	FY2020-FY2023	_
Sponsoring Agency:_	DelDOT	
Project Name: Autor	mated/Dynamic Paratransit	Scheduling (T202150402)
Project Category: Ti	ransit Systems	
schedule paratransit re	1	tomated and Dynamic Scheduling tool will be used to ators) in the most efficient manner to meet rider demand
Project Justification:	More efficiency in schedul	ing trips.

Funding: Federal \$5,975,000 State \$266,103 Other \$0 Total \$6,241,103

Funding	Phase	FY20 SPEND	FY 21 SPEND	FY 22 SPEND	FY 23 SPEND	Total
100% State	Proc	\$0	\$63,180	\$202,923	\$0	\$266,103
80/20 FTA Toll Credit	IT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,975,000	\$5,975,000
Total		\$0	\$63,180	\$202,923	\$5,975,000	\$6,241,103

Please provide any additional pertinent information below:
If not, is there a resolution to amend the Metropolitan Transportation Plan?
5. Is this project consistent with the WILMAPCO Metropolitan Transportation Plan? Yes (Section 450.324)(f)(2) "The TIP shall includeonly projects that are consistent with the transportation plan."
Please indicate funding sources by agency: DelDOT, FTA
4. Has this project been found to be financially constrained? Yes (Section 450.324)(e) "The TIP shall be financially constrained by year and include a financial plan that demonstrates which projects can be implemented using current revenue sources (while the existing transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. The financial plan shall be developed by the MPO in cooperation with the State and transit operator"
3. Has this project had the opportunity for public comment? No (Section 450.326) " Public involvement procedures consistent with Section 450.316 (b)(1) shall be utilized in amending the TIP, except that these procedures are not required for TIP amendments that only involve projects of the type covered in Section 450.324 (I)."
2. Is this project regionally significant? Yes (Section 450.324)(f)(3) "The TIP shall includeall regionally significant transportation projects for which an FHWA or the FTA approval is required whether or not the projects are to be funded with title 23, U.S.C., or Federal Transit Act funds, e.g., addition of an interchange to the Interstate System with State, local, and/or private funds, demonstration projects not funded under title 23, U.S.C., or the Federal Transit Act, etc."
1. Does this project require a new conformity determination? No (Section 51.400)(C2) "A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by the MPO, unless it merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in (Section 51.460)."

WILMAPCO

Transportation Improvement Program Submission/Amendment Description of Public Participation

Project Name: Automated/Dynamic Paratransit Scheduling (T202150402)

Number of public workshops/meetings: Format: Location(s): Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings	Public workshops/meetings		
Location(s): Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results:	Number of public workshops/meetings:	_	
Number of attendees: Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results:	Format:		
Main issue raised: Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Results:	Location(s):		
Consensus of meeting: Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some support, but some concerns raised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Consensus of meeting: Some opposition, many concerns raised Strong opposition, major problems identified identified	Number of attendees:		
Overall, the public support for the project was (check one): Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Taised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results:	Main issue raised:		
Strong support, few concerns Some opposition, many concerns raised Traised Mixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results:	Consensus of meeting:		
Some support, but some concerns raisedMixed, equal support and opposition Unresolved issues identified:Citizen Advisory/Steering CommitteeSurvey Number surveyed:Results:	Overall, the public support for the project wa	as (check one):	
Other	Some support, but sor raised Mixed, equal support opposition Unresolved issues identified: Citizen Advisory/Steering Committee Survey Number surveyed: Results: Elected officials briefings	me concerns	raised Strong opposition, major problem
	Web page		Distribution:
		Flyers	
Web page Publications Distribution: Legal notice Newsletter/brochure	 Radio/television		
Web page Publications Distribution: Legal notice Newsletter/brochure Videos Flyers	Other CTP and MPO Hearings		

How has the project changed as a result of public comments?

Comment further on the quantity and quality of the public participation:

Department of Transportation FY 2021 - FY 2026

Automated/Dynamic Paratransit Scheduling Updated - 2/17/22

PROJECT AUTHORIZATION SCHEDULE

IN (\$000)

PDO FECT			CURRENT ESTIMATE	FY 2021			FY 2022			FY 2023				FY 2024	STATE	FEDERAL	
PROJECT NUMBER	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE		STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	STATE	FEDERAL	FUND TYPE	TOTAL	FEDERAL TOTAL
T202150402	PROCUREMENT	100% STATE	266.1													-	-
T202150402	IT DEVELOPMENT	80% FTA	4,780.0					3,557.6	5307							-	3,557.6
								1,222.4	5311							-	1,222.4
T202150402	IT DEVELOPMENT	20% FTA (TOLL CREDIT)	1,195.0					889.4	5307							-	889.4
								305.6	5311							-	305.6
Total			6,241.1	-	-		-	5,975.0		-	-		-	-		-	5,975.0

PROJECT FUNDING SCHEDULE

IN (\$000)

PROJECT NUMBER	PHASE	FUNDING SOURCE	BALANCE AS OF	CURRENT ESTIMATE		FY 2021		FY 2022		FY 2023			FY 2024			FY 2025	FY 2026	
			July 1, (State Only)		STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	STATE	FEDERAL	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL
T202150402	PROCUREMENT	100% STATE		266.1	63.2			202.9										
T202150402	IT DEVELOPMENT	80% FTA		4,780.0								4,780.0						
T202150402	IT DEVELOPMENT	20% FTA (TOLL CREDIT)		1,195.0								1,195.0						
Total			-	6,241.1	63.2	-	-	202.9	-	-	-	5,975.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

^{5307 -} Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program 5311 - Rural Transit Assistance Program (5311(b)(3))/Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas (5311)