

Newark Transportation Plan

DRAFT Report

Submit comments to WILMAPCO at:

Online: www.wilmapco.org/newark

Mail: 850 Library Ave, Suite 100, Newark, DE 19711

Phone: 302/737-6205

Email: hdunigan@wilmapco.org

Please submit comments by June 24, 2011

THANK YOU!!!

**City of Newark
New Castle County, DE**

Prepared for:



Participating Agencies:

Delaware Department of Transportation

DART – Delaware Transit Corporation

City of Newark

University of Delaware

Prepared by:

Orth-Rodgers & Associates, Inc.

Abrams-Cherwony Group of Gannett Fleming, Inc.

DRAFT May 24, 2011

ORA Job No. 2010 034

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	<i>i</i>
INTRODUCTION	1
- Purpose of the Plan	
- Planning Process Used	
- Organization of the Report	
I. TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE ISSUES	3
A. Existing Conditions	
1. Demographics and Land Use Trends	
2. Transportation Trends	
3. What’s Been Done Since the 1998 Transportation Plan?	
4. Existing Data Sources	
5. Identification of Key Issues	
B. Future Changes	
C. Analysis of Key Issues and Recommendations	
1. Congestion, Safety and Mobility	
2. Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements	
3. Parking	
4. Transit	
II. IDENTIFICATION OF OPPORTUNITIES/SOLUTIONS	41
A. Short-Term Action Plan	
B. Long- Range Action Plan	
III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN	48
A. Agency/Jurisdictional Responsibilities	
B. Implementation Strategy	

APPENDICES

List of Figures and Tables

<i>Figures</i>		<i>Page</i>
1.	WILMAPCO CMS Congestion Map – 2009	5
2.	2009/2010 Community Day/workshop Survey Data	8
3.	Corridor Optimization Program –Proposed Corridors	10
4.	Capacity Preservation/Improvement Areas	15
5.	Ogletown Rd and Marrows Rd – Improvements	16
6.	N. College Ave and Cleveland Ave – Improvements	17
7.	Crash Distribution – 2008	18
8.	Road Diet/ Traffic Calming Corridors	20
9.	W. Park Place – Traffic Calming Improvements	22
10.	S. College Ave. – Gateway and Traffic Calming	23
11.	Cleveland Ave. – Road Diet	24
12.	Delaware Ave. – Separated Bike Lane	26
13.	Proposed Main Street Bump-outs and Parking Ent. Improvements	28-30
14.	Library Avenue – Center Median Improvement	31
15.	Reduced Curb Radius / Reduces Crosswalk Length	31
16.	Current vs. Recommended Standard for Urban Areas	31
17.	Existing Public Transportation Facilities	37
18.	Existing University of Delaware Shuttle Routes	38
19.	Proposed Uni-City Shuttle Route	39

Tables

I	Summary of Recommendations	ii
II	Newark Population Data	3
III	Newark Historical Traffic Data	4
IV	Newark Commuting Trends	4
V	Cleveland Avenue Peak Hour Levels of Service	11
VI	Library Avenue Peak Hour Levels of Service	12
VII	S. College Avenue Peak Hour Levels of Service	13
VIII	Traffic Calming Guidelines	21
IX	On-Road Bicycle Recommendations	25
X	Transit Route Description	34
XI	Frequency of Transit Service	35
XII	Span of Transit Service	36
XIII	Short-Term Recommendations	40
XIV	Long-Term Recommendations	44

Executive Summary

This report was prepared as an update to the *Newark/Elkton Intermodal Transportation Plan* that was completed in 1998. Specifically, this update addresses components of the plan relative to the City of Newark. The purpose of this update is to re-examine the City's transportation system, gather a renewed round of agency and public input, and develop a set of updated system-wide recommendations.

In recent years the City of Newark has continued to contend with the issues of growth and land use, as well as the transportation challenges that go hand in hand with such concerns. In recent years the area's traffic volumes have remained fairly constant within the City. This is directly related to the overall slow economic conditions and a changing employment landscape in and around the City. Specifically, the closure of the Newark Chrysler Plant, the Avon Distribution Center along with higher gas prices have helped keep traffic growth at a slow pace. However, despite the national economic downturn, the City is experiencing growth in housing and employment. The University of Delaware has several ongoing initiatives that will expand their presence in the City, DART's ridership has steadily increased, and areas of recurring traffic congestion have not seen notable improvement despite the recent economic downturn.

The goal of this updated *Newark Transportation Plan* is to provide a renewed direction for

developing an intermodal transportation system that will provide effective and efficient movement of people and goods while preserving the character of Newark as a livable community. This study evaluated numerous alternatives for addressing these issues, and it recommends several different types of strategies and actions. The list of identified improvements include a wide range of initiatives including: signal optimization, capacity enhancements, access management, parking strategies, and mobility improvements that address walking, biking and transit accessibility. It should also be noted that this report has put added emphasis on developing economically feasible and sustainable improvements that can reasonably be approved, funded and implemented.

The finalized plan was developed in cooperation with various agencies and organizations. Participating agencies included WILMAPCO, DelDOT, Delaware Transit Corporation, City of Newark, and the University of Delaware. Additionally, three public meetings were held to gain input and comments from members of the public, along with public displays and committee presentations. In general the plan has identified several key issues which include congestion, safety, and mobility, bicycle and pedestrian travel, parking and transit.

The following table provides a brief summary of recommendations in each category:

TABLE I - Summary of Recommendations

Congestion, Safety and Mobility Improvements – Short-term	
Recommendations	Summary
<p>Signal Coordination - Implement a Newark Corridor Optimization Program. Initially to include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elkton Rd. (10 signals) 2. Cleveland Ave. (6 Signals) 3. Library Ave (4 Signals) 4. S. College Ave. (10 Signals) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All non-compliant/faulty equipment shall be repaired and new traffic volume data shall be collected for use in developing corridor-specific optimized signal timing plans. • Installation of a modernized traffic signal system for the S. College Ave and Route 4 Corridors.
<p>Land Use and Travel Demand Management</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combining planned, mixed use development and programs to encourage use of walking, bicycling, transit and ridesharing can reduce demand for driving and thus reduce the impacts of congestion.
<p>Access Management and Traffic Flow</p>	
<p>Ogletown Rd (Route 273) at Marrows Road – Roadway alignment upgrade</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes improvement to the existing lane alignment between Marrows Rd. and Library Ave in the westbound direction. (Paint Only)
<p>Cleveland Ave. at N. College Ave. – Addition of a northbound right-turn lane</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes widening the northbound approach to include 5’ bike lanes, two 11’ through lanes and an 11’ right turn lane. • Right turn lane will add capacity to the intersection without increasing the length of the heavily utilized north to south crosswalks.
<p>Safety, Complete Streets and Traffic Calming</p>	
<p>W. Park Place traffic calming improvements from Elkton Rd to S. College Ave.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement a cost-effective traffic calming plan that keeps existing mid-block curb lines intact and restores the corridor to a more residential quality. Design concepts will include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A reduced road width at intersections with short sections of raised medians/pedestrian refuges on intersection approaches. ○ Use of “sharrow” bicycle markings to delineate area of shared roadway use. ○ Re-evaluate signal warrants along the corridor. Consider converting signals to 4-way stop control. Candidate intersections for signal removal include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • W. Park and Apple Rd, • W. Park and Orchard Rd. ○ Pedestrian-scale lighting

Congestion, Safety and Mobility Improvements – Long-range	
Recommendations	Summary
<i>Access Management and Traffic Flow</i>	
Wyoming Rd and Marrows Road Corridor Access Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a means to accommodate growth and maintain acceptable levels of service along these corridors, land use decisions and access management strategies should be focused on the possibility of long term dualization (2 lanes in each direction) on these roadways.
Delaware Ave Extension to Marrows Rd.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As means to address future growth and reduce traffic along Library Ave., any redevelopment of the College Square shopping area should include extending Delaware Ave. to Marrows Rd. This added link would introduce a small grid system to the area, which would reduce trip lengths, distribute traffic more evenly throughout the area and provide improved driving, bicycle and walking access to this underused commercial area.
N. Chapel St. underpass and Cleveland Ave - northbound right-turn lane extension and improvement of substandard design.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No operational traffic benefits are gained by extending the NB right turn lane within currently available space. Traffic level of service and vehicular queues would be unchanged. Future improvements to the CSX overpass should include provisions for a standardized right-turn lane.
<i>Safety, Complete Streets and Traffic Calming</i>	
S. College Ave Gateway/Mobility Improvements from Main St. to the bridge over Amtrak.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modified roadway cross-section that focuses on improved mobility along the corridor for all modes. Includes full width bike lanes throughout the corridor. Improves connection from the City's core to the Train Station area.
Cleveland Avenue Road Diet from Capital Trail (Kirkwood Hwy) to N. Chapel St. /Pomeroy Trail.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modified roadway cross-section that focuses on improved mobility along the corridor for all modes. Includes full width bike lanes throughout the corridor. Exclusive left turn lanes to be added along the corridor Intersection capacity and Levels of service would not be negatively impacted. Cons – Some existing business driveways would be restricted to right-turns only. A No Stopping condition on Cleveland Avenue would need to be enforced.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements – Short-term	
Recommendations	Summary
<i>Bicycle Improvements</i>	
<p>Stripe bike lanes – When road width allows, restripe pavement markings to include travel lanes and ride-able bike lane/shoulders (5’ preferred Min 4 ‘)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • W. Main St, west of Hillside • Cleveland Avenue, College to Paper Mill - bike lane WB, Sharrows in the EB direction. • Hillside Rd –bike lanes • S. Chapel St, Academy St, and N. College Ave – restripe where existing width allows.
<p>Mark shared pavement markings (Sharrows) - Where sufficient width does not exist for bike lanes, provide “sharrows” in areas where vehicular and bike traffic share the road.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • East Main Street: Pomeroy Trail to Elkton Rd. • New London Rd – Main to Cleveland • North Chapel St. • Cleveland Ave – West of College Ave. • Casho Mill Rd – SB through underpass • Apple Rd.- Park Pl. to Elkton • County Club, Windsor, Delrem
<i>Pedestrian Improvements</i>	
<p>Implement City-wide initiatives for walkability</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rejuvenate maintenance operations that focus on providing well-defined crosswalks with uniform markings and signage throughout the City. • Develop a program to convert all pedestrian signal indications to include countdown timers. • For new and re-construction projects, develop strategies that minimize crossing distances. Policies should aim to keep roadway improvements focused on more traditional urban design. Items shall include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Controlling the number and width of travel lanes ○ Using the smallest curb radius practicable to better manage pedestrian conflicts with turning vehicles. ○ Placing crosswalks in a way that reduces or eliminates any degree of skew. ○ Utilize curb extensions (bulb outs) ○ Where medians of 4 feet or wider are present design the median as a pedestrian refuge, with two shorter and separate crossings on each side of the median. • Continue to design all crosswalk locations to accommodate disabled pedestrians (ADA compliant)

Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements – Long-range	
Recommendations	Summary
<i>Bicycle Improvements</i>	
Delaware Ave East/West Bicycle Linkage - Includes providing a separated cycle track between Orchard Rd and Tyre Ave.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delaware Avenue signals would be modified to accommodate westbound bike traffic. • The westbound bike lane would terminate at Orchard Rd. Cyclists would then be directed left onto Orchard Rd. to Amstel Ave. • Marked “Bike Boxes” are proposed at eastbound signalized locations.
Bicycle signal detections improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement newer technologies. Continue the increased use of above ground video detection as a replacement for traditional inductive loop detectors.
<i>Pedestrian Improvements</i>	
High Intensity Activated Crosswalks (HAWK)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible locations include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Delaware Ave between Academy St and College Ave. ○ S. College Ave. between Ritter Lane and the railroad overpass. ○ Elkton Rd. – mid-block crossing locations ○ Academy St Corridor – south of Delaware Ave.
Library Avenue – Jaywalking mitigation efforts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed concept is to provide a center median to serve as a pedestrian refuge area with individually marked crosswalks for the eastbound and westbound travel lanes. • Slight relocation to existing bus stops facilities would also be made to enhance visibility of pedestrians.
Main Street – Bump-out/crosswalk improvements between Chapel St and College Ave.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide additional intersection and crosswalk bump-outs along the corridor. To reduce crosswalk widths and discourage illegal corner parking. • Bump-outs can accommodate benches, bike racks, and trash receptacles and to better define off-street parking access points.

Parking Improvements – Short-term	
Recommendations	Summary
Expand supply of downtown parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement “Morepark” modular parking to provide added capacity to meet short-term economic development needs downtown.
Consolidate parking lots and entrances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add new Center Street entrance and/or exit to Lot #3 and reduce access points along Main Street to minimize possible pedestrian conflicts. Also continue to work with property owners to merge private parking into larger municipal lots.
Maximize space in existing lots	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consolidate dumpsters with trash compactors to reduce space requirements and improve lot aesthetics.
Improve wayfinding to parking entrances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of banners and more visible signs at and in advance of parking lots is recommended.
Expand car-sharing program. Coordinate with the University of Delaware to monitor and expand the Zipcar Program.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This newly implemented program should be monitored for its effectiveness. If successful, the program should be expanded in the future beyond the 4 initial vehicles
Add bicycle parking downtown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Install additional bicycle racks throughout Main Street.
Parking Improvements – Long-range	
Recommendations	Summary
Continue Parking Management Initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct parking garage on Lot #1 (behind Galleria) with ground level commercial or liner building to maximize use of prime location and accommodate parking needed for future economic development.

Transit Improvements – Short-term	
Recommendations	Summary
Transit Hub Re-establishment Efforts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently, this transit facility is oriented primarily to DART bus routes while the potential connections with other bus routes are not well established. To respond to this situation, the adjacent bus stops on Main and Delaware would have special treatments that indicate the proximity of the Transit Hub. This would include features such as bus stops signs, passenger waiting shelters, real-time schedule information (e.g., Next Bus) and concrete pavers or other materials to denote the extension of the Transit Hub to the nearby cross streets.
City-wide Amenities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The current system is lacking in terms of features that identify the transit system. This would include bus stops signs at all locations which indicates the service, route and phone and web page to obtain transit information. With the exception of City Hall, there are few bus stop signs along the UniCity bus routes. Other elements of this recommendation would be passenger waiting shelters or benches at the more heavily utilized bus stops. Another feature that can increase transit visibility and ridership is to install ride guides which list schedule times for that bus stop.
Improved Marketing Efforts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a single source of information on the transit services provided by each agency. A single transit map should be prepared for Newark which would show all routes, schedule times, fare information and contact phone numbers and web addresses to contact each agency. Information might also include other “Car-free in Newark” travel choices.
Service Modifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider consolidation of the three existing UniCity routes into one or two bus routes. Due to uniform coverage area the DART Route 31 could also be eliminated as part of this consolidation. In addition or as an alternative, additional trips could be added to DART’s best performing Newark routes (6, 33, 34, and 55).
Transit Improvements – Long-range	
Recommendations	Summary
Service Modifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to add trips to support better performing routes.

Introduction

A. Purpose of the Plan

The Newark Transportation Plan provides a renewed transportation plan for the City of Newark. The plan was developed as an update to the *Newark/Elkton Intermodal Transportation Plan, Long-Range Analysis* completed in 1998 and the *Short-Term Action Plan* completed in 1996. This update takes into consideration current and expected transportation budget shortfalls and focuses on identifying cost effective and sustainable recommendations.

Using both technical analysis and community input, the Plan has re-evaluated previously identified strategies, analyzed the current traffic and parking conditions, and examined pedestrian, bicycling and transit issues throughout the City.

The Plan is also intended to aid in the implementation of the *WILMAPCO Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)* by supporting economic development through planned infrastructure investment, promoting an efficient transportation system, and providing transportation opportunities and choices. As specified by the RTP, the plan aims to strengthen our community through improved traffic flow, transit, walking, and bicycling facilities along with improved visual appearance to encourage visitors and residents to use non-automobile modes, promote tourism, and reduce the need for costly roadway expansions.

B. Planning Process Used

Using technical analysis and community input, the plan has been a joint effort between the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO) and the City of Newark, with

WILMAPCO serving the managing role. An Advisory Committee included representation from the Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT), Delaware Transit Corporation (DTC), and the University of Delaware (UD), as well as resident and business representatives. Input from the broader community was sought in various ways, including the project web site, public meetings and workshops, presentations to committees, Newark Community Day displays, and Facebook.

Through the process, Advisory Committee meetings were conducted in which data was shared and an assortment of comments and ideas were discussed among the various stakeholder involved. The objective was to provide strategic direction to the development of transportation initiatives within the City. The project consisted of three main tasks which included:

- I. *Identification of the current transportation and land use issues* – This included reviewing existing conditions, future changes, and developing a list of key transportation-related issues to be addressed. This task also included a review and status update on the recommendations listed in the 1998 plan.
- II. *Identification of opportunities and solutions* – This included determining strategies for addressing the issues and developing specific recommendations.
- III. *Identify a means to implement the plan* – This included determining responsible agencies involved in the plan and defining a strategy for implementation.

Data was collected from various sources. Using traffic count data from DeIDOT and WILMAPCO, a Synchro traffic model was developed to include all signalized intersections within the City limits. This model was developed by expanding an existing model that was previously developed by DeIDOT for a smaller portion of the City. This City-wide model served as the main tool in analyzing several of the main corridors throughout the City. For corridors selected for future study, traffic projections to the year 2030 were made by applying a growth rate of one percent per year.

At Newark Community Days in September 2009 and 2010 and a public workshop in May 2010, initial public input was sought regarding transportation issues and priorities. At a November 2010 public meeting, a draft list of alternatives was presented for discussion. The technical analysis included analyzing existing and projected future traffic volumes, and assessing the potential effectiveness of proposed transportation facilities and services.

Information was also shared between planners and many of the City's advisory committees including the Newark Bicycle Committee, Newark Parking Committee, Newark Traffic Committee, the Downtown Newark Partnership Design Subcommittee, and the Newark Conservation Advisory Committee.

Based upon the meetings with the Advisory Committee, public input and additional technical analysis, the project team developed a draft Plan, presented at a May 2011 public meeting. Finally, based upon public comments and additional technical review, the planning team prepared the final report.

C. Organization of the Report

This report is divided into three sections.

Section I – Transportation and Land Use Issues, identifies the existing and future conditions used in conducting the analysis and provides a summary of the key issues identified as part of the process.

Section II – Identification of Opportunities/Solutions, examines the various areas needing improvement and focus on the detail of the recommended transportation improvement plan.

Section III – Implementation of the Plan, calls out the anticipated implementation strategies for the agencies with responsibility over the plan. In addition to the three main sections of the report, the Technical Appendix includes various materials that support the analysis and findings of the study.