ADVISORY COMMITTEE JANUARY 29, 2024









AGENDA

- Welcome, Overview, and Introductions
 - Welcome
 - Kirkwood Highway Corridor Overview
 - Introductions
- Advisory Committee Process
 - Roles, Responsibilities, and Expectations
 - Project Process
- Visioning Workshop
 - Visioning Workshop Summary
 - Vision Statement
- Scenario Planning
 - What is Scenario Planning?
 - Potential Kirkwood Highway Scenarios
 - Potential Criteria for Evaluation
- Next Steps
- Public Comment





WELCOME

Charuni Patibanda





Pam Steinebach

DelDOT



Cathy Smith





Dave Gula





KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY OVERVIEW

Study Area





KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY OVERVIEW

Why do we need a plan?

- Establish the guiding vision for the future
- Coordinate development/redevelopment
- Coordinate and time infrastructure needs
- Leverage resources to maximize results



Without Blueprints Without public involvement



With Blueprints
With public involvement





KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY OVERVIEW

Purpose



Strengthen alternative travel modes



Enhance existing neighborhood vitality



Position existing businesses to remain competitive



Accommodate future economic growth



Promote more sustainable patterns of development





INTRODUCTIONS

Please share the following <u>three</u> items:

- Name
- Organization
- Why is the Kirkwood Highway corridor important to you?

Please keep your response under two minutes.



ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND EXPECTATIONS

Role of Advisory Committee Member

- Advisory Committee Members will provide feedback and input to the project team.
- Advisory Committee Members will provide information, experiences, and local knowledge to assist the project team.
- The project team will use the input of the Advisory Committee Members, as well as all other public input, to make land use and transportation recommendations.
- The Advisory Committee is not a decision-making body and will not make recommendations.



ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND EXPECTATIONS

How We Treat Each Other

- Each member has an equal right to speak and ask questions.
- Each member is encouraged to share individual viewpoints.
- Individual opinions are valid whether others agree with them or not.
- We will listen to, respect and seek to understand the views of others, particularly those perspectives that differ from our own.
- Disagreements will be explored not suppressed.
- We will be courteous when addressing other Advisory Committee Members and the project team.
- We will refrain from interrupting each other and the project team.
- We will keep our comments relevant to the topic(s) under discussion.

ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND EXPECTATIONS

Advisory Committee and the Public

- All Advisory Committee meetings are open for observation by the public.
- Advisory Committee meetings are designed and for the benefit of the Advisory Committee Members.
- Only Advisory Committee Members will be allowed to ask questions and discuss topics during meetings.
- All Advisory Committee meetings will devote time for public comment at the end of the meetings.
- Individuals are free to discuss the work of the Advisory Committee outside of Advisory Committee meetings; however, any opinions/discussions reflect the views of the individual and not of the Committee.



PROJECT PROCESS

- Share, Educate, Listen, Engage
- Listening Tour
- Advisory Committee
- Public Workshops



PROJECT PROCESS







AND USE and TRANSPORTATION PLAN

VISIONING WORKSHOP SUMMARY

- December 11th from 4:00-7:00pm
- Located at Cranston Heights Fire Co.
- Approximately 100 attendees
- Over 60 comment forms submitted
- Over 50 wiki map submissions
 - Additional comment forms and wiki
 map notes have been submitted since
 the workshop







VISIONING WORKSHOP SUMMARY

80 + comments as of January 29, 2024



KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY LAND USE and TRANSPORTATION PLAN

VISIONING WORKSHOP SUMMARY

Workshop Themes

- Traffic Safety and Congestion
- Pedestrian and Cyclist Infrastructure
- Traffic Calming Measures
- Green Spaces and Aesthetic Improvement
- Targeted Infrastructure Improvements





VISION STATEMENT

Kirkwood Highway should become a multimodal corridor that serves a variety of compact community and business centers between Newark and Wilmington.

- Transportation facility design elements, reflective of the context of the different areas along the corridor, should discourage high traffic speeds and promote safe access to destinations by walking, bicycling, and transit while managing congestion levels.
- Economic development efforts should focus on facilitating a transition from auto-oriented design to bikeable and walkable places that mix affordable community-serving retail and services with housing opportunities that serve the corridor's diverse clientele.
- Both public and private properties should integrate landscaping and open space.
- Connected networks serving all modes should link Kirkwood Highway's community and business centers to adjacent neighborhoods and resources such as schools and parks.



WHAT IS SCENARIO PLANNING?

Purpose and Objectives

Scenarios provide an opportunity to think big and ask important questions:

- What is "business as usual"?
- What if SR 2 had a very different modal emphasis?
- What different types of approaches would achieve the Corridor Vision?
- How would those different approaches affect evaluation metrics?
- What tensions (divergent stakeholder views, funding availability, etc.) complicate achieving the Corridor Vision?



WHAT IS SCENARIO PLANNING?

Evaluating Different Possible Futures

Guidance for Scenario Definitions:

- Feasibility stretch, but be pragmatic
- Theme establish scenarios that can be recognized as different approaches to achieving goals/objectives
- Differentiation define scenarios that will have meaningful changes in evaluation metrics



KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

WHAT IS SCENARIO PLANNING?

Evaluating Different Possible Futures

What is "business as usual", or BAU? Some Kirkwood Highway investments have been programmed and will continue moving forward under the "old BAU". New projects will, at a minimum, reflect changes (i.e., NCC2050 Plan, funding priorities) in a "new BAU".

Scenarios help provide high-level, conceptual direction for identifying elements to be included in a preferred alternative. Scenarios do not reflect phasing (i.e., you can't change horses midstream).

Scenario 2 **Scenario** Today -> Old BAU **New BAU** KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY AS A "STROAD"





"Old BAU" includes efforts underway



https://deldot.gov/projects/

	SR2/Red Mill Road Intersection								
Construction	Milltown Road and McKennans Church Road								
	Bridge rehabilitation								
Decim	Possum Park and Old Possum Park Road Intersection								
Design	SR2 at Darwin Drive								
	Old Capitol Trail, Newport Road and Stanton Road								
Planning	Churchman's Road Extended								
Planning	SR 2 / SR 7 Intersection								



What Approaches have Generated Interest?

We've heard interest in:

- BRT / LRT
- Service roadways / boulevards
- Bicycle connectivity
- Speed management
- Affordable housing
- Retail center reinvestment



KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY LAND USE and TRANSPORTATION PLAN

How far do we Stretch?

We probably won't stretch this far...







Transportation investments can catalyze property investments



Without transportation investment, population and household growth will be flat, leading to stagnant or declining economic activity and real estate values.

Improved mobility infrastructure and updated zoning which facilitates compact site designs and an increase in mixed-use residential development...



...should lead to an expanding population and household growth, along with an increase in economic activity and real estate values.

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

How far do we Stretch?

- Are these opportunities within the realm of "stretch"?
 - Fully dedicated BRT?
 - Lane repurposing?
 - Redevelopment with integrated street grids that disperse traffic?





Considering Tradeoffs

- Use of physical space for travel modes, utilities, placemaking, and environmental needs
- Scale of improvement
- Timing and funding of public and private investments



Considering Tradeoffs

- Use of physical space for travel modes, utilities, placemaking, and environmental needs
- Scale of improvement
- Timing and funding of public and private investments







Considering Tradeoffs

What scenario ideas did we hear interest in?

- Better transit service (BRT or LRT) along with boulevard and/or service road treatments
- Better private sector investment in walkable centers with open space
- These interests can inform transformative transportation and land use concepts:
- A <u>transit boulevard</u> : continuous, high-profile transitway (BRT or BRT-lite) with landscaped median separation where appropriate
- A <u>multimodal corridor</u> scenario considers a more localized approach toward walk/bike access into walkable centers and creating a sense of place





Kirkwood Highway Development: Centered, or Linear?

- Development densities reflect historic crossroad centers between stream valleys - the development nodes are not centered along modern SR 2
- NCC2050 Plan reflects opportunity and flexibility for property investment fairly continuously along corridor



KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY LAND USE and TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Suggested Scenarios and Approaches to Corridor Vision and Key Projects

Scenarios	New BAU	Transit Boulevard	Multimodal Corridor
Scenario Focus In Achieving Corridor Vision			
Serve all travel modes	Auto emphasis	Transit emphasis	Bike/ped emphasis
Discourage high traffic speeds	Yes	Yes	Yes
Promote safe access to land uses along Kirkwood Highway	Yes	Yes	Yes
Manage congestion levels	Highest	Moderate	Moderate
Transition placemaking from auto-oriented to multimodal	No	Focus on Key Stations	Corridor-wide
Include residential uses in business centers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Improve landscaping and open space	Moderate	Moderate	Highest
Provide connections to off-corridor destinations	Yes	Yes	Yes

POTENTIAL OUTCOME FOR KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

Blend lessons learned from each scenario along the corridor: we might end up with something like this:



New BAU



POTENTIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY

What criteria are important to you?

Corridor Vision Element	Evaluating Criteria
Serve all travel modes	Multimodal access to opportunities and QOS
Discourage high traffic speeds	Target speeds/design criteria
Promote safe access to land uses along Kirkwood Highway	Access management, building orientation
Manage congestion levels	Auto LOS
Transition placemaking from auto-oriented to multi-modal	Multimodal connectivity
Include residential uses in business centers	Satisfaction of market demand
Improve landscape and open space	Impervious surface, placemaking elements
Provide connections to off-corridor destinations	Sidewalk and trail connectivity to offset destinations



POTENTIAL CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION

1																																
		Name						Fina	l Score		ehicle culation		Valking rculation		Bicycl Circulat			reen Icement:	s U	Urban Desi	ign ^B	lus Circula (x2)	tion		portation ty (x2)	Walking/ Safety	Biking (x2)	Crime Safety (x2)	Truck Management (x2)	Job Growth Modified (x2)	SDOH (x2)	Final Score
SR 9 Road Diet/Streetcape: Memorial Dr to Lambson Ln									61		2	3			3		2		\top	5	\top	2			10	10)	10	0	4	10	61
Me	morial Drive at SR 9 Roundabou	ıt							51		2		4		4	4 2		2		5		2			10	0		10	0	4	8	51
SR	9 Road Diet/Streetscape: Lamb	oson Ln to Ro	ogers	Rd					47		0		3		3			3		2		6			10	0		6	0	4	10	47
Ro	gers Rd at SR 9 Intersection Reb	ouild							47		5		4		4			3		5		2		8		0		2	0	4	10	47
Ch	erry Ln at SR 9 Roundabout								44		5		4		4			2		5		2			10	0		6	0	4	2	44
Mu	ltiuse Center Lane Pathway: I-2	95 at SR 9							43		2		4		3			2		4		0			6	0		10	0	4	8	43
Me	morial Drive Road Diet: Full Bui	ld							41		0		3		3			2		5		2			4	0		10	0	4	8	41
Te	rminal Avenue at SR 9 Roundab	out							38		0		4		4			3		5		2			6	0		2	0	4	8	38
SR	9 Road Diet/Streetcape: Butto	nwood Ave t	o Che	erry Li	n				38		-2		3		3			2		2		6			8	0		6	0	4	6	38
Ka	ryln Drive at Memorial Drive Inte	ersection Re	build	1					35		2		3		4			1		5		2			2	0		6	0	2	8	35
SR	9 Road Diet/Streetscape: Roge	rs Rd to Terr	minal	l Ave					31	2			3		1	1 3		3		2		2		4		0		2	0	4	8	31
Sta	mm Blvd at SR 9 Intersection Re	ebuild							27		-5		4		4			1		5		2			4	0		6	0	4	2	27
Me	morial Drive Road Diet: Interim	Build							18		0		1		1			0		2		2			4	0		0	0	0	8	18
	Safety	C	_	0				0					\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc								\bigcirc							
	Constructability / Engineering / Legal			\bigcirc		0		0	\bigcirc					0		\bigcirc			\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	$) \bigcirc$								
	Natural Environment Impacts				0	0	0	0	0		0	0			0		0	0				0	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	0						
	Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts				0		0	0	0		0	0			\bigcirc		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$) \bigcirc$	\bigcirc	0						
	Noise / Property Impacts						0	0	0			0					0	0				_	0	$) \bigcirc$		0						
	Cost	\$377.3M \$85.	0M \$	\$33.0M	\$3.8M	\$3.7M	\$2.5M	\$11.1M	\$1.9M	\$7.1M	\$25.0M	\$0.9M	\$34.0M	\$19.3M	\$54.0M	\$5.0M	\$7.2M	\$1.9M	\$0.5M	\$1.2M	\$6.0M	\$5.0M	\$10.0	M \$10.0M	\$40.0M	\$37.0M						
Γ																																

RATING Most Beneficial Overse

RKK

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY LAND USE and TRANSPORTATION PLAN

NEXT STEPS

- Refinements based upon tonight's feedback
- Next public workshop on February 21st from 4-7pm at the Cranston Heights Fire Hall
- Next Advisory Committee
 meeting in April

	2023	3			2024	2024														
	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB		
(m) TASK 1	. Identij	fy issue	s, oppo	rtunitie	s, and c	onstraiı	nts													
	aller.																			
🛞 TASK 2	. Comn	nunity v	visioning	g																
TASK 3	Define	e assun	nptions	and pot	ential la	and use	and tra	insporta	tion sce	narios										
•			A	VE RE ERE																
TASK 4	• Mode	land u	se and i	transpo	rtation :	scenario	os													
								*				*								
TASK 5	• Select	preferr	red scen	arios ar	nd comp	olete fin	al repoi	rt												
															*		Ð			



PUBLIC COMMENT

KIRKWOOD HIGHWAY



LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PLAN