## Detailed Hotspot Performance Profiles and Screening

## Synopsis:

This section contains an inventory of current conditions of each hotspot that were selected as part of the system-wide congestion assessment. Recognizing that the CMP is intended to address multimodal performance, this section provides insights into how multiple transportation modes operate within each of the identified hotspots. Each hotspot in the region presents its own unique mobility challenges, and this review will be taken into account when suggesting strategies that best fit the conditions, goals, and character of the area under consideration.

## Hotspot Evaluation \& Screening Criteria:

A screening effort designed to present a clearer picture of the current conditions along each of the identified hotspots was performed. Included are current operational and usage statistics that is available on multiple modes of transportation to help guide which of the congestion strategies would be appropriate for each location. The evaluation and screening pulls data and information from a wide assortment of available sources. The full list of criteria (including definition and sources) is available on Tables 1-3. The focus of the evaluation is to capture the these three main categories regarding each hotspot: Transportation Inventory, General Area Details and Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

The main goal is to provide decision-makers with a performance-based mix of strategies to mitigate congestion and improve the mobility of people and goods traversing the transportation system. In addition, it should address other CMP objectives as applicable, such as improving safety, accessibility, security, and supporting principles developed in the WILMAPCO 2050 Regional Transportation Plan.

## Evaluation \& Screening Criteria

## Category \#1—Transportation Inventory:

- Roadway classifications and other FHWA program designations
- Current traffic volumes, speeds and delay conditions
- Current multi-modal infrastructure and operations
- Safety (Crash Frequency, severity and types)


## Category \#2-General Area Details:

- Socio- Economic conditions
- Relationship with Environmental Justice and Mobility- Challenged areas
- Relationship with WILMAPCO RTP Transportation Investment Areas (TIAs)


## Category \#3-Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics:

- Employment Concentrations and job types
- Journey to Work Mode Share
- Transit Investment Suitability Analysis

Table 1: Summary of Detailed Evaluation Criteria

| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Criteria | Definition/Source |
| Daily AADT Range | DeIDOT Traffic Counts (2021) |
| Functional Classification | Based on FHWA Classification System (2015) |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) designation | National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) in 23 U.S.C. 167 |
| U.S. DOD's Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET), | Public highways that provide access and emergency transportation of personnel and equipment for defense purposes. |
| Corridor within a Designated Truck Bottleneck (and Ranking) | DelDOT Truck Bottleneck Analysis (2018 \& 2020) |
| Total Daily Hours of Person Delay (if available) | Performance metric from § 490.707-National performance management measures for traffic congestion. Measured in Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) measured along the NHS in Urbanized Areas within the hours of 6-10am and 3-7pm. <br> Source: National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage | Percentage of mileage (both directions) which have existing non-motorized facilities along main corridor roadway frontage. (2021) <br> Source: WILMAPCO |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | Combines the use of three crash criteria: frequency, severity, and Manner of impact at each intersection. Analysis includes a 3-year average of crashes (2019-2021) at signalized and non-signalized intersections that average 10 or more crashes per year. <br> Source: WILMAPCO, DeIDOT |
| Average Bus trip frequency by Route (AM Peak/PM Peak) | Average number of trips on individual routes during the AM Peak (6-9am) and PM Peak (3-6pm). <br> Source: Delaware Transit Corporation 2022 |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | Inventory of any designated Park \& Ride/ Pool locations along corridor and their overall usage in 2022 <br> Source: WILMAPCO, DeIDOT |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | Year of last signalized corridor re-timing effort, if applicable |

## Other General Area Details

## Criteria

## Definition/Source

Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas

Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average)

Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas
WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s)
Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies

WILMAPCO Transportation Justice Plan (2019)

Data from EJScreen: Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool. Developed by the EPA. 2019

WILMAPCO Transportation Justice Plan (2019)
WILMAPCO Regional Transportation Plan (2019)
Any portion of corridor included in a recent Areawide / Subregional Master Plan or Study. Includes Transportation Improvement Districts (TIDs)

Table 2: Summary of Traffic and Travel Conditions Criteria

| Criteria | Definition/Source |
| :---: | :---: |
| AM \& PM Travel Time Reliability | Road segments with deficient Travel Time Reliability (TTR) from DeIDOT Traffic Operations Management Plan (TOMP) for AM peak (7-9am) and PM peak (4-6pm) and Summer Mid-Day (10am$6 \mathrm{pm})$. Breakdowns are as follows: <br> - Severe Recurring: TTR (95th percentile/uncongested travel time) >= 2.5 and TTI (50th percentile/uncongested travel time) >= 1.5 <br> - Severe Non-recurring: TTR >= 2.5 and $\mathrm{TTI}<1.5$ <br> - Not Severe: TTR < 2.5 <br> Full report can be found at https://deldot.gov/Programs/itms/index.shtml?dc=tomp <br> * Note: Bluetooth data was not available for corridors \#6 and \#12. NPMRDS data was used in its place to assess travel time reliability (Fall 2021) |
| Substandard Intersections | Critical Movement Summation (CMS): A measurement which focuses on the raw intersection capacity and the ability for an intersection to process a given traffic demand (volume) with a given lane use configuration and given phase sequence. Level of Service (LOS) is determined by the peak hour volumes for the AM and PM periods. Breakdowns are as follows: <br> - LOS A: Less than 1,000 vehicles/hour <br> - LOS B: 1,000 to 1,150 vehicles/hour <br> - LOS C: 1,151 to 1,300 vehicles/hour <br> - LOS D: 1,301 to 1,450 vehicles/hour <br> - LOS E: 1,451 to 1,600 vehicles/hour <br> - LOS F: More than 1,600 vehicles/hour <br> Year of data varies. Details on specific intersection locations can be found on the interactive map on the WILMAPCO CMP project homepage http://www.wilmapco.org/cms |
| Hourly Travel Speeds | Hourly travel speed averages are collected AM/PM weekday and Summer weekends using the following data timeframes: <br> - Weekdays: Mondays-Thursdays from 2nd Monday in September to 2nd Thursday in November 2021 <br> - Weekends: Second Friday in June to Second Sunday in August. <br> Overnight average speed is a measurement of travel speeds during the hours of $11 \mathrm{pm}-5 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Source: National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) |

## Table 3: Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics Criteria

| Criteria | Definition/Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sub-Area Journey- <br> to-Work Mode <br> Splits | Journey to Work mode share of communities near identified corridor. Local mode share compared <br> against the Countywide average. <br> Source: American Community Survey (2015-2019 5-year avg.) at the block group level. |
| Corridor <br> Employment by <br> type and density | Breakdown of employment grouped by NAICS supersectors. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data <br> developed by WILMAPCO for use in the DeIDOT Peninsula Model. |
| Adaptation of analysis developed by the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC) ti- <br> tled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit <br> Appropriateness of <br> Transit Service In- <br> Thousehoncy and public transportation. Using gross densities of population, employment and zero-car <br> tensity/Investment <br> variables used in developing a frave category transit score. <br> Full Report : https://www.dvrpc.org/reports/07005.pdf <br> Source: Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO for use in the DelDOT Peninsula Model <br> (year 2020) |  |

## Corridor \#1 Profile and Screening: Naaman's Rd



| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 3.5 |
| Daily AADT Range | 14,600-24,600 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay | 77,300 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 85.6\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | None |
|  | Route 61 <br> ( 6 trips / 6 trips) |
| (rips) | Route 13: Philadelphia Pike / DuPont Highway (15 trips / 15 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 3 Locations - Usage less than 1\% of Capacity |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2018 |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | No EJ Areas within corridor |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 0 <br> Significant Areas: 1,685 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | No |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | North Claymont Area Master Plan (2017) |

## Corridor \#1, Naaman's Rd Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)

## Map Legend

Intersection Level of Service (Critical Movement Summation)

- LOS C+ less than 1,300 vehicles/hr.

LOS D: 1,301 to 1,450 vehicles/hour
LOS E: 1,451 to 1,600 vehicles/hour
LOS F: Over 1,600 vehicles/hour
Travel Time Reliability
Areas with no Significant recurring or non-recurring congestion Areas with significant non-recurring congestion
Areas with significant recurring congestion
$\square$ CMP Hot Spot


PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


EASTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Foulk Rd. to Philadelphia Pike


## WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Philadelphia Pike to Foulk Rd.

Overnight Average Speed: 37.4 mph
$\begin{array}{lllll}28.1 & 28.3 & 28.1 & 27.7 & 27.2\end{array}$
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$28.7 \quad 29.2 \quad 29.6$
29.0
$30.2 \quad 30.4 \quad 26.0$
24.9
$\begin{array}{llllll}8.1 & 28.3 & 28.1 & 27.7 & 27.2\end{array}$


## Corridor \#1, Naaman's Road: Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale / Retail / Transp. \& Utilities | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Mode | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $80.4 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $7.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $6.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at <br> Home | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#2: Concord Pike Profile and Screening

| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 5.1 |
| Daily AADT Range | 8,100-54,100 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | Critical Urban Freight Corridor (CUFC) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 169,332 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 61.1\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | US 202 @ Murphy Rd. (\#48) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route | Route 35 Brandywine Town Center / Shipley Rd (2 trips / 2 trips) |
| (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 2 Concord Pike (15 trips / 18 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 2 Locations - 5\% Usage |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2019 |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | No EJ Areas within corridor |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | No MC Areas within corridor |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | No |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 0 |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Concord Pike Master Plan (2021) |

## Corridor \#2: Concord Pike Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: I-95 to PA-491


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: PA-491 to I-95

Overnight Average Speed: 44.3 mph


## Corridor \#2: Concord Pike Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retai/Transp. \& Utilities | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $6 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

[^0]Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $79.3 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $7.8 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $3.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $7.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Appropriate |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#3: Kennett Pike Profile \& Screening



| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 2.2 |
| Daily AADT Range | 14,300-19,100 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 85,096 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 99.8\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | None |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 52 Centreville / Greenville / Kennett Pike ( 5 trips / 5 trips) |
|  | Route 10 Wilmington / Univ PIz / Newark (9 trips / 8 trips) |
|  | Route 20 Lancaster Pike / Hockessin (5 trips / 5 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 1 Location 13\% |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2018 (partial) |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | Moderate Areas: 1,035 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 1,774 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 862 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | No |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Wilmington Comprehensive Plan (2021) |

## Corridor \#3: Kennett Pike Traffic and Travel Conditions



NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Union Street to SR 141

45
40
 42.3

5am
Source: NPMRDS

Overnight Average Speed: 42.5 mph


SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 141 to Union Street


[^1]Time of Day

## Corridor \#3: Kennett Pike Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Transp. \& Utilities | $17 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $11 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. |  |  |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $78.0 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $5.2 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $6.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $2.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $7.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensityl

 Investment by Transit Score Category| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#4: Lancaster Pike: Profile and Screening



Transportation Inventory

| Corridor Length (miles) | 2.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Daily AADT Range | $15,200-25,600$ |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 53,789 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | $65.8 \%$ |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | None |
|  | Route <br> 18 Pike Creek Valley / <br> Wilm / Foulk Rd <br> $(8$ trips / 8 trips) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 4 W 4th Street / Governor <br> Printz Blvd <br> $(20$ trips / 19 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | None |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | None |

Other General Area Details

| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental <br> Justice Areas | Moderate Areas: 4,239 <br> Significant Areas: 2,220 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particu- <br> late Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 1,771 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility <br> Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 2,770 <br> Significant Areas: 2,220 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | No |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Wilmington Comprehensive Plan <br> $(2021)$ |

## Corridor \#4: Lancaster Pike Traffic and Travel Conditions



NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Union Street to SR 141


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 141 to Union Street



## Corridor \#4: Lancaster Pike Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones
2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $40 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $6 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

[^2]Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $\mathbf{7 1 . 2 \%}$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $12.2 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $6.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $4.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $5.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

Corridor \#5: Kirkwood Highway Profile and Screening


| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 7.6 |
| Daily AADT Range | 24,900-48,400 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 303,306 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 85.5\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | SR 2 \& Hawthorne Avenue (\#54) |
|  | DE 2 \& Red Mill Rd. (\#11) |
|  | DE 2 \& DE 7 (\#4) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 6 Kirkwood Highway (16 trips / 19 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 2 locations 3\% usage |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2017 |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | Moderate: 6,959 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 4,885 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 1,699 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (High) |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Churchman's Crossing Plan Update (2022), <br> Churchman's Crossing TID (2023) |

## Corridor \#5: Kirkwood Highway Traffic and Travel Conditions



EASTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Cleveland Ave. to SR 141


WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 141 to Cleveland Ave.


## Corridor \#5: Kirkwood Highway Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $26 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $91.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $0.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $1.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $2.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $4.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.
Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Appropriate |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

Corridor \#6: DE 4 (Maryland Ave) Profile and Screening: Naaman's Rd


| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 4.2 |
| Daily AADT Range | 18,700-34,700 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 134,955 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 90.8\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | None |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route | Route 5 Maryland Ave / Christiana Mall (18 trips / 18 trips) |
| (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 9 Boxwood Rd / Broom St / Vandever Ave (12 trips / 11 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | None |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2018 |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | Moderate Areas: 4,324 <br> Significant Areas: 979 |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 6,046 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 6,072 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | None |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Newport Transportation Plan (2021) |

Corridor \#6: DE 4 (Maryland Ave.) Traffic and Travel Conditions
Map Legend

| Intersection Level of Service (Critical Movement Summation) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | LOS C+ less than 1,300 vehicles/hr. |
| $\bigcirc$ | LOS D: 1,301 to 1,450 vehicles/hour |
| $\bigcirc$ | LOS E: 1,451 to 1,600 vehicles/hour |
|  | LOS F: Over 1,600 vehicles/hour |
|  | Travel Time Reliability |
|  | Areas with no Significant recurring or non-recurring congestion |
|  | Areas with moderate recurring congestion |
|  | Areas with significant recurring congestion |
|  | CMP Hot Spot |



Source: NPMRDS

EASTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 4/7 Split to Beech Street


WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Beech Street to SR 4/7 Split
33.4
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## Corridor \#6: DE 4 (Maryland Ave) Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work


Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $35 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Util- <br> ities | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $85.9 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $8.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $2.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $0.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $2.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Possible |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC
titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Corridor \#7: I-95 / I-295 Profile and Screening


| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 6.9 |
| Daily AADT Range | 95,900-205,900 |
| Functional Classification | Interstate |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | Primary Freight Network (PFN) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 140,330 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | N/A |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | N/A |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 301 Intercounty Wilmington / Dover (8 trips / 8 trips) |
|  | Route 33 Christiana Mall / Newark (12 trips / 12 trips) |
|  | Route 37 Wilmington/Christiana Mall/ Amazon (4 trips / 5 trips) |
|  | Route 42 Glasgow / Newark Express (7 trips / 7 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | N/A |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | N/A |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | N/A |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | N/A |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | Yes |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (Low) |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies |  |

## Corridor \#7: I-95 / I-295 Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


Source: DeIDOT TOMP

NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Christina Creek Bridge to I-95/495 Merge


SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: I-95/495 Merge to Christina Creek Bridge
70
Overnight Average Speed: 59.4 mph


## Corridor \#8: US 13/Hare's Corner Profile and Screening



## Other General Area Details

| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental <br> Justice Areas | Moderate Areas: 1,544 <br> Significant Areas 3,901 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate <br> Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 11,856 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility <br> Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 1,542 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (High) |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Route 9 Corridor Master Plan (2018), |

## Corridor \#8: US 13/Hare's Corner Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


Source: DeIDOT TOMP


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: I-495 to US 40 Split


40
26.6

## Source: NPMRDS

Overnight Average Speed: 30.1 mph

[^3]
## Corridor \#8: US 13/Hare's Corner Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work


Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $35 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $11 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $81.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $10.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $4.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $1.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.
Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#9: DE 4/Churchman's Rd. Profile and Screening

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transportation Inventory |  |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 6.6 |
| Daily AADT Range | 17,700-52,864 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial \& Minor Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route (SR 4 portion) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 133,114 (Churchman's Rd. portion not covered) |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 72.4\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | DE 4 \& Salem Church Rd. (\#22) |
|  | DE 7 \& Telegraph Rd. (\#43) |
|  | DE 7 \& AAA Blvd. (\#24) |
|  | SR 7 \& Churchman's Rd. (\#38) |
|  | DE 4 \& Harmony Rd. (\#45) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 62 Churchman's Shuttle ( 5 trips / 5 trips) |
|  | Route 5 Maryland Ave / Christiana Mall (18 trips / 18 trips) |
|  | Route 54 Fairplay Sta / C Mall / Wilton ( 8 trips / 6 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 1 location 32\% usage |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2018 (Churchman's Rd portion) 2019 (SR 4 portion) |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | Moderate Areas: 2,239 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 7,691 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | No MC Areas within corridor |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (high) |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Churchman's Crossing Plan Update (2022), Churchman's Crossing TID (2023) |

## Corridor \#9: DE 4/Churchman's Rd. Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


Overnight Average Speed: 43.7 mph


[^4]
## Corridor \#9: DE 4/Churchman's Rd. Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics




Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $9 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $4 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $56 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $4 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $84.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $8.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $1.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $1.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $3.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

Corridor \#10: City of Newark: Profile and Screening


| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 5.5 |
| Daily AADT Range | $22,600-28,600$ |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial \& Minor Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay | 139,287 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway front- <br> age | $94.5 \%$ |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | DE 273 \& Library Ave. (\#7) |

## Corridor \#10: City of Newark: Traffic and Travel Conditions



## Corridor \#10: City of Newark: Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $3 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retai/Transp. \& Utilities | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $24 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $\mathbf{9 \%} \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $67.8 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $7.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $3.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $16.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Corridor \#11: SR 1/ Christiana Mall Area Profile and Screening

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transportation Inventory |  |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 1.8 |
| Daily AADT Range | 70,800-86,800 |
| Functional Classification | Other Freeway / Expressway |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | Critical Urban Freight Corridor (CUFC) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 48,115 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | N/A |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | N/A |
|  | Route 301 Intercounty Wilmington / Dover (8 trips / 8 trips) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 51 New Castle Ave / DE 273 / Ch Mall ( 6 trips / 6 trips) |
|  | Route 37 Wilmington/Christiana Mall/ Amazon ( 4 trips / 5 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 1 location 4\% usage |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | N/A |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | N/A |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | No MC Areas within corridor |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Center/Core |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (Low) |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particu- | 911 |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Churchman's Crossing Plan Update (2022), <br> Churchman's Crossing TID (2023) |

## Corridor \#11: SR 1/ Christiana Mall Area Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


Source: DeIDOT TOMP

NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 273 to I-95
Overnight Average Speed : 70.6 mph


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: I-95 to SR 273

Overnight Average Speed: $\mathbf{7 1 . 1} \mathrm{mph}$


## Corridor \#12: DE 273 (Churchman's Area) Profile and Screening



| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 4.0 |
| Daily AADT Range | 32,400-43,500 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | MAP-21 NHS Route |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 238,882 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 23.5\% |
|  | DE 273 \& Harmony Rd.(\#46) |
| ersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | DE 273 \& Appleby Rd. (\#53) |
|  | DE 7 \& DE 273 (\#7) |
|  | DE 273 \& Old Balt. Pike (\#17) |
|  | Route 10 Wilmington / Univ Plz / Newark (9 trips / 8 trips) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 51 New Castle Av / DE 273 / Ch Mall ( 6 trips / 6 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 1 location 23\% usage |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2018 |
| Other General Area Details |  |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Justice Areas | Moderate: 2,239 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 14,398 |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged Areas | Moderate Areas: 468 <br> Significant Areas: 0 |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | None |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | Churchman's Crossing Plan Update (2022) |

## Corridor \#12: DE 273 (Churchman's Area) Traffic and Travel Conditions

AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)


EASTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Ruthar Drive to Airport Road
Map Legend

## Intersection Level of Service

Source: NPMRDS


WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: Airport Road to Ruther Drive

## $24.8 \quad 25.7$
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Corridor \#12: DE 273 (Churchman's Area) Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics
2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work


Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $6 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $53 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $79.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $12.9 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $3.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $0.9 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $1.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $2.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones
Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#13: DE 896, S. of Newark Profile and Screening

| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 3.1 |
| Daily AADT Range | 32,600-47,700 |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | Critical Urban Freight Corridor (CUFC) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay (PHED) | 11,412 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | 21.9\% |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | DE 896 \& Old Baltimore Pk. (\#44) |
|  | US 40 \& DE 896 (\#13) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | Route 302 intercounty / Middletown (3 trips / 3 trips) |
|  | Route 42 Glasgow / Newark Express (7 trips / 7 trips) |
|  | Route 46 Newark / Glasgow (8 trips / 12 trips) |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | None |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | 2020 |



## Other General Area Details

| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Jus- <br> tice Areas | No EJ Areas within corridor |
| :--- | :---: |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged <br> Areas | No MC Areas within corridor |
| WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) | Core |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | Yes (Moderate) |
| Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate <br> Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) | 0 |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | US 40 Corridor Study (2000) |

## Corridor \#13: DE 896, S. of Newark and Travel Conditions



NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: US 40 to I-95
Overnight Average Speed: 43.8 mph


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: I-95 to US 40



## Corridor \#13: DE 896, S. of Newark Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $19 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $84.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $7.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $2.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $4.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#14: US 40 Profile and Screening



| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 9.8 |
| Daily AADT Range | $25,500-44,300$ |
| Functional Classification | Principal Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | Critical Urban Freight Corridor (CUFC) |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay | 497,993 |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | $32.1 \%$ |
|  | US 40 \& DE 896 (\#13) |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | US 40 \& DE 7 (\#10) |

## Corridor \#14: US 40 Traffic and Travel Conditions

Map Legend
Intersection Level of Service (Critical Movement Summation)

- LOS C+ less than 1,300 vehicles $/ \mathrm{hr}$.

LOS D: 1,301 to 1,450 vehicles/hour
LOS E: 1,451 to 1,600 vehicles/hour
LOS F: Over 1,600 vehicles/hour
Travel Time Reliability
Areas with no Significant recurring or non-recurring congestion Areas with significant non-recurring congestion
Areas with significant recurring congestion
$\square$ CMP Hot Spot


EASTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: MD Line to SR 1


## Corridor \#14: US 40 Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% | County \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retai/Transp. \& Utilities | $32 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $82.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $9.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $3.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $1.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $3.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Possible |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Appropriate |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#15: DE 72 (Red Lion) Profile and Screening



| Transportation Inventory |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Corridor Length (miles) | 3.7 |
| Daily AADT Range | $16,400-23,400$ |
| Functional Classification | Ninor Arterial |
| National Freight Highway Network (NHFN) | No Data Available |
| Total Person-Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay | $10.8 \%$ |
| Non-Motorized Facilities Coverage along main corridor roadway frontage | US 40 \& DE 72 (\#27) |
| Intersections in top 20\% of Statewide Crash Rankings | Route 53 Delaware City <br> DMV / Newark Hub <br> (1 trip / 5 trips) |
| Average Total Transit Trips by Route (AM Peak Trips /PM Peak Trips) | 1 location 6\% usage |
| Number of Park and Rides and \% Usage | 2020 |
| Last Signal Retiming (if applicable) | No EJ Areas within corridor |
| Other General Area Details | No MC Areas within corridor |
| Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Environmental Jus- <br> tice Areas | Community <br> Population Along Corridor within Moderate \& Significant Mobility Challenged <br> Areas <br> Population Along Corridor within areas of high concentrations of Particulate <br> Matter Emissions (80-100th Percentile of Statewide average) <br> WILMAPCO Transportation Investment Area(s) |
| Corridor within a designated truck bottleneck (and Ranking) | No |
| Corridor inclusion in recent areawide studies | US 40 Corridor Study (2000) |

## Corridor \#15: DE 72 (Red Lion) Traffic and Travel Conditions

## AM Peak Travel Conditions (7-9am)



PM Peak Travel Conditions (4-6pm)


Source: DeIDOT TOMP

NORTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 1 to US 40


## SOUTHBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: US 40 to SR 1



## Corridor \#15: DE 72 (Red Lion) Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $39 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $17 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $80.6 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $6.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $3.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $0.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $8.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

## Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Possible |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |

## Corridor \#16: DE 299 (Middletown) Profile and Screening



## Corridor \#16: DE 299 (Middletown) Traffic and Travel Conditions




## WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 1 to Summit Bridge Road



## Corridor \#16: DE 299 (Middletown) Journey to Work and Employment Characteristics

## 2020 Employment Density- Total Jobs by Place of Work



2020 Employment By Job Type

| Employment Type | Corridor \% County \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction/ Manufacturing | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Wholesale/Retail/Transp. \& Utilities | $29 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Finance / Information | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Prof. \& Business Services | $5 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Health \& Education | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Leisure \& Hospitality | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Other Service \& Public Admin. | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Mode Share: ACS Journey to Work

| Journey to Work <br> Mode Split | Corridor Avg. County Avg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOV | $89.2 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ |
| Carpool | $5.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Transit/Taxi | $0.6 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Walk/Bike | $0.9 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Other | $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Work at Home | $4.1 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey: 2015-19 5-year data.

Adaptation of analysis developed by the DVRPC titled "Creating a Regional Transit Score Protocol" which analyzes the relationship of land use, transit dependency and public transportation. Correlates transit investments deemed appropriate based on the intensity of the variables used in developing the transit score. Based on 2020 Traffic Analysis Zone data developed by WILMAPCO.

Appropriateness of Transit Service Intensity/ Investment by Transit Score Category

| Modal Investment | Appropriateness of <br> New Investment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Urban Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Light Rail Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Commuter Rail | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Rapid Transit | Not Appropriate |
| Bus Lane Expansion | Possible |
| Bus Priority Treatment | Possible |
| Fixed Routes | Appropriate |
| Express Bus | Appropriate |
| Local Circulator | Appropriate |


[^0]:    Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

[^1]:    Source: NPMRDS

[^2]:    Source: WILMAPCO Traffic Analysis Zones

[^3]:    * Travel Time does not include portion of SR 273

[^4]:    WESTBOUND Hourly Travel Speeds: SR 4/7 Stanton Split to SR 72*
    

    Source: NPMRDS

