Churchman's Crossing Plan Update Public Workshop #3

June 23, 2021





WELCOME!

Public Workshop #3: Churchman's Crossing Plan Update



Some helpful hints for tonight's virtual workshop:

- The Zoom Webinar Menu Bar (on a computer) appears at the bottom of the Zoom window once the workshop begins. If you don't see the menu bar, move your mouse slightly and the bar will re-appear. The bar disappears after a few seconds when in full-screen mode.
- Note that you are muted and without video by default. You can ask questions via the Chat box. The host and panelists will monitor these questions throughout the evening.
- For dial-in participants, to participate during the question-and-answer period after the presentation, please press *9 to Raise/Lower Hand and press *6 to Mute/Unmute.



VILMAPCO

With the Zoom Webinar Menu Bar you can do the following:

- Adjust Audio Settings. Click the upward arrow (^) next to "Audio Settings" to change your computer's audio preferences (for example, change from headphones to computer speaker).
- **2. Chat.** During the presentation, you can submit questions and feedback using the "Chat" feature. The host and panelists will monitor the chat and answer questions following the presentation.
- **3. Raise Your Hand.** Use the "Raise Hand" button for audience participation. Once raised, the button will change to "Lower Hand", which can be selected once you have been recognized.
- 4. Leave the Workshop. To leave the virtual workshop, click the "Leave" button.



Poll Questions

VILMAPCO

We will be posing questions to participants throughout tonight's presentation.

Everyone is encouraged to participate by selecting answers in the pop-up polling window using the Zoom app (not available for dial-in participants).

We will use the results to help develop transportation and land use recommendations.

	ossing Area?
Yes, I live here.	(1) 2%
Yes, I work here.	(5) 9%
Yes, I shop, dine, play, and do other activities here.	(17) 30%
More than one of the above.	(29) 52%
None of the above.	(4) 7%



We are committed to keeping you informed about this important plan update:

- Project website: <u>http://www.wilmapco.org/Churchmans/</u>
- Comment form: <u>https://forms.gle/x6PTVX7pJGkuaEig7</u>
- For questions, comments, or to sign up for project email updates, email Randi Novakoff at rnovakoff@wilmapco.org
- To reach project co-manager Dave Gula
 - -Email: dgula@wilmapco.org
 - -Phone: 302-737-6205 ext. 122



Agenda

- 5:00 5:30pm Meeting Sign in/Log in
- 5:30 6:45pm Presentation
- 6:45 7:30pm Q&A





Agency Partner Statements / Introductions

Dan Blevins WILMAPCO



Andrea Trabelsi, AICP New Castle County Department of Land Use















Jim Burnett RK&K



Mark Tudor RK&K



Dan Hardy Renaissance Planning





Purpose of Tonight's Workshop

- Provide an overview of the project and update on progress since the last Virtual Workshop held on March 3, 2021
- Present analysis results and preliminary transportation project recommendations
- Share potential implementation strategies
- Seek feedback on preliminary recommendations on the Churchman's Crossing Plan update

10





Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

- Update the original 1997 Churchman's Crossing Study
- Updated plan will include recommendations on:

11

- -Transportation improvements
- -Land use strategies
- Based on input from:
 - -Scenario planning results
 - -Public agency partners
 - -Advisory Committee
 - -Public workshops





Why Do We Need to Update the Plan?

- Confirm the guiding vision for the future
- Coordinate development/re-development
- Coordinate and time infrastructure needs
- Leverage resources to maximize results





Without Blueprints Without public involvement

With Blueprints With public involvement

Without a Plan	With a Plan
 Public infrastructure / spending may lag or be spent before facilities are needed Re-development/development is haphazard or unpredictable Impacts / consequences are random 	 Public infrastructure / spending is aligned with need (efficient) Re-development/development efforts can benefit from each other with intention and predictability Better understanding of the consequences of actions



VILMAPCO

Timeline





Materials Available on Website

- Recordings and materials from previous Virtual Public Workshops and Advisory Committee Meetings
- Topic-specific clips
 - Recommended Land Use Forecast Balanced Land Use
 - Transportation Alternatives for consideration
 - Transportation Project Evaluation Criteria
- Other supporting technical documents

14

Comment form

http://www.wilmapco.org/Churchmans/



Recommended Land Use Forecast – Balanced Land Use

Current

- -Built / occupied in 2019
- Expected
 - Considers growth already in development or expected to occur based on regional econometrics
- Balanced
 - Considers strategic intensification of mixed-use centers to improve the mix of uses





Transportation Alternatives – RTP Financially Constrained List

VILMAPCO





Transportation Alternatives – RTP Aspirations List + Others







Transportation Alternatives – Additional Projects for Evaluation



Vilmapco



Evaluation Matrix

Churchman's Crossing Study PROJECT CRITERIA SUMMARY



		FUNDED	RTP	ASPIRAT	IONS										ADD	ITIONAL	PROJEC	TS					
	Transportation Improvement Alternative	RTP Financially Constrained Improvements	Churchmans Road Extended, SR 2 to SR 4	SR 273: 3rd lane NB & SB between SR 1 and I-95	Northbound I-95 Ramp to Chapman Road	SR 7 Intersections: SR 7/Telegraph Road, SR 7/Delaware Park Boulevard	Southbound I-95 Access from Continental Drive	Southbound SR 1 to Southbound I-95 Connection	Southbound SR 1 to Northbound I-95 Connection	Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Southbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Christiana Mall Access Road-Bus Only	Christiana Mall Road A Extension - East	Christiana Bypass	Eagle Run Rd. Connector to Samoset Dr. (2nd I-95 Crossing)	Brownleaf Road Extension	Opening Samoset Dr./Continental Dr.: SR 4 to Churchmans Road	Telegraph Road/St. James Road Railroad Underpass	Micro Transit (DTC)	Automated Transit Vehicles (DTC)	New bus transit routes To/from Mall and SR 7 - Pike Creek, SR 2 - Prices Corner, SR 141 & SR 273 - New Castle, US 13 - Llangollen, SR 273 - Witton, SR 2 & SR 4 - Newark	ents: Bus pads, ways/routes, etc. ways/routes, etc. etc. etc. etc. file etc.	ial
	Мар	A-R	S	U	v	W	х	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	DD	GG	нн	Ш	JJ	KK	EE	FF	LL		
	Connectivity																						
	Extent of Effect – Person Miles Traveled																						
	Congestion																						
	Transit Enhancement Opportunities																					Neutral	
	Mode Share																						
ERIA	Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress																						
CRITERIA	Economic Development / Re-Development Opportunities																						
	Safety																					Most Adverse	
	Constructability / Engineering / Legal																						
	Natural Environment Impacts																						
	Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts																						
	Noise / Property Impacts																						





DelDO'

Evaluation Criteria

Connectivity

Extent of Effect – Person Miles Traveled

Congestion

- Transit Enhancement Opportunities
- Mode Share
- Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress

- Economic Development / Redevelopment Opportunities
- Safety
- Constructability / Engineering / Legal
- Natural Environment Impacts
- Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts
- Noise / Property Impacts





Evaluating Connectivity

Does the project create new connections?



No new connections





New high-quality connections









Evaluating Congestion - Arterial LOS

- 40 MPH posted speed
- 2 miles in length
- Three minor intersections @ 15 seconds of delay (LOS B) each
- Two major intersections @ 75 seconds of delay (LOS E) each
- Total travel time = 180 sec (2 mi @ 40mph) + 195 sec (intersection delay) = 6¼ minutes
- Average speed: 2 miles in 6¼ mins = 19 MPH → LOS D

22





Evaluating Congestion

• How much traffic is the right amount?



Arterial Mobility: LOS F Thriving Economy?

WILMAPCO



Arterial Mobility: LOS A Struggling Economy?

Evaluating Congestion – Hypothetical Example

- How much traffic is the right amount? Consider: Time of Day
- Hypothetical roadway 4 lanes
- 1 mile in length
- 44,000 daily volume
- LOS D in peak periods
- 1080 daily VHT
- 12 acres of ROW
- 8 acres of pavement
- 75' crosswalk





Evaluating Congestion – Hypothetical Example

- How much traffic is the right amount? Consider: Time of Day
- Hypothetical roadway 6 lanes
- 1 mile in length
- 44,000 daily volume
- LOS C in peak periods
- 850 daily VHT
- 18 acres of ROW
- 10 acres of pavement
- 100' crosswalk





Evaluating Congestion

• How much traffic is the right amount?

Neutral: traffic levels likely don't warrant investment

Good: the Goldilocks zone

Neutral: investment likely doesn't fully address traffic needs

26



Evaluating Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress

 Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress Evaluations



Churchman's Crossing Existing Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress



Evaluating Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress

- Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress Evaluations
- Considers connectivity to
 - -Schools
 - Community centers
 - Employment centers
 - Transit
 - -Parks





Evaluating Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress

- Bicycle & Pedestrian
 Level of Traffic Stress
 Evaluations
- Considers connectivity to
 - Schools
 - Community centers
 - Employment centers
 - Transit
 - -Parks



Churchmans Crossing Bicycle Mobility Study





Evaluating Safety

- Does the proposed improvement address existing safety concerns?
 - Positively impacts safety, particularly at intersections ranked worst in DE

) Unknown safety impacts, or does not address existing safety concerns

Negatively impacts safety, particularly at intersections ranked worst in DE



Delaware Statewide Crash Rankings, 2016 – 2018 (based on number, severity, and cost)



30

VILMAPCO

Evaluating Constructability / Engineering / Legal

Are there challenges to constructing the proposed improvement?

Neutral



Slightly challenging to build, some impacts to the traveling public during construction, potential for legal challenges

 \bigcirc

Challenging to build, major impacts to the traveling public during construction, likely substantial legal challenges





Considering Resource / Community Impacts

- Transportation Facility Screening (completed) – qualitative assessment
- Transportation Facility Assessment (final study phase) – GIS-level assessment of key resources
- Land Use Assessment (part of NCC@2050 scenario analysis to consider effects of land development regulations and/or incentives)

NCC@2050 Summer Forum Tickets, Thu, Jul 15, 2021 at 6:00 PM | Eventbrite







ILMAPCO

Evaluation Matrix – Analysis Results

	RATING	
Most Beneficial	Neutral	Most Adverse

		FUNDED	RTP	ASPIRAT	IONS										ADD	ITIONAL	PROJEC	TS								
	Transportation Improvement Alternative	RTP Financially Constrained Improvements	Churchmans Road Extended, SR 2 to SR 4	SR 273: 3rd lane NB & SB between SR 1 and I-95	Northbound I-95 Ramp to Chapman Road	SR 7 Intersections: SR 7/Telegraph Road, SR 7/Delaware Park Boulevard	Southbound I-95 Access from Continental Drive	Southbound SR 1 to Southbound I-95 Connection	Southbound SR 1 to Northbound I-95 Connection	Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Southbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Christiana Mall Access Road-Bus Only	Christiana Mall Road A Extension - East	Christiana Bypass	Eagle Run Rd. Connector to Samoset Dr. (2nd I-95 Crossing)	Brownleaf Road Extension	Opening Samoset Dr./Continental Dr.: SR 4 to Churchmans Road	Telegraph Road/St. James Road Railroad Underpass	Micro Transit (DTC)	Automated Transit Vehicles (DTC)	New bus transit routes Toffrom Mall and SR 7 - Pike Creek, SR 2 - Prices Corner, SR 141 & SR 273 - New Castle, US 13 - Llangollen, SR 273 - Wilton, SR 2 & SR 4 - Newark	Transit Access Improvements: Bus pads, shelters, accessible pathways/routes, etc.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Improvements Along Existing Roads	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections Serving Existing Communities	SR 273: 3rd lane NB & SB between I-95 and SR 4	SR 273 at I-95 interchange Reconfiguration
	Мар	A-R	S	U	V	W	Х	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	DD	GG	HH	Ш	JJ	KK	EE	FF	LL	MM	NN	00	PP	QQ
	Connectivity			0		\bigcirc									\bigcirc			\bigcirc							\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	Extent of Effect – Person Miles Traveled				\bigcirc		\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0		
	Congestion				\bigcirc							\bigcirc	0					0	\bigcirc	0	0	0	\bigcirc	0		
	Transit Enhancement Opportunities			0	\bigcirc										0										\bigcirc	0
	Mode Share		\bigcirc										0	0	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc								\bigcirc
ERIA	Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress							0	0					0	0	0		0	0	0	0					
CRITERIA	Economic Development / Re-Development Opportunities			0	0	0		0	0	0	0				0										0	0
	Safety		0	0				0					0	0	0	0	0								\bigcirc	
	Constructability / Engineering / Legal								0					0		0			0		0	0	\bigcirc	0		
	Natural Environment Impacts				0		0	0	0		0	0			0		0	0				0	0	0	0	0
	Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts				0		0	0	0		0	0			0		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Noise / Property Impacts						0	\bigcirc	0			0					\bigcirc	\bigcirc					\bigcirc	0		0
	Cost	\$377.3M	\$85.0M	\$33.0M	\$3.8M	\$3.7M	\$2.5M	\$11.1M	\$1.9M	\$7.1M	\$25.0M	\$0.9M	\$34.0M	\$19.3M	\$54.0M	\$5.0M	\$7.2M	\$1.9M	\$0.5M	\$1.2M	\$6.0M	\$5.0M	\$10.0M	\$10.0M	\$40.0M	\$37.0M





DelDOT

Evaluation Matrix – Analysis Results

	RATING	
Most Beneficial	Neutral	Most Adverse

		FUNDED	RTP	ASPIRAT	IONS										ADD	ITIONAL	PROJEC	TS								
	Transportation Improvement Alternative	RTP Financially Constrained Improvements	Churchmans Road Extended, SR 2 to SR 4	SR 273: 3rd lane NB & SB between SR 1 and I-95	Northbound I-95 Ramp to Chapman Road	SR 7 Intersections: SR 7/Telegraph Road, SR 7/Delaware Park Boulevard	Southbound I-95 Access from Continental Drive	Southbound SR 1 to Southbound I-95 Connection	Southbound SR 1 to Northbound I-95 Connection	Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Southbound I-95 Ramp from Churchmans Road	Christiana Mall Access Road-Bus Only	Christiana Mall Road A Extension - East	Christiana Bypass	Eagle Run Rd. Connector to Samoset Dr. (2nd I-95 Crossing)	Brownleaf Road Extension	Opening Samoset Dr./Continental Dr.: SR 4 to Churchmans Road	Telegraph Road/St. James Road Railroad Underpass	Micro Transit (DTC)	Automated Transit Vehicles (DTC)	New bus transit routes Toffrom Mall and SR 7 - Pike Creek, SR 2 - Prices Corner, SR 141 & SR 273 - New Castle, US 13 - Llangollen, SR 273 - Wilton, SR 2 & SR 4 - Newark	Transit Access Improvements: Bus pads, shelters, accessible pathways/routes, etc.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Improvements Along Existing Roads	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections Serving Existing Communities	SR 273: 3rd lane NB & SB between I-95 and SR 4	SR 273 at I-95 interchange Reconfiguration
	Мар	A-R	S	U	V	W	Х	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	DD	GG	HH	Ш	JJ	KK	EE	FF	LL	MM	NN	00	PP	QQ
	Connectivity			0		0									\bigcirc			\bigcirc							\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	Extent of Effect – Person Miles Traveled				\bigcirc		\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
	Congestion				\bigcirc							0	\bigcirc					\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0		
	Transit Enhancement Opportunities			0	\bigcirc										\bigcirc										\bigcirc	0
	Mode Share		\bigcirc										\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc								\bigcirc
ERIA	Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress							0	0					\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	0					
CRITERIA	Economic Development / Re-Development Opportunities			0	\bigcirc	0		0	0	0	\bigcirc				\bigcirc										\bigcirc	0
	Safety		\bigcirc	0				0					\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc								\bigcirc	
	Constructability / Engineering / Legal								0					\bigcirc		0			\bigcirc		0	0	\bigcirc	0		
	Natural Environment Impacts				\bigcirc		0	0	0		0	0			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc				0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0
	Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts				\bigcirc		0	0	0		0	0			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	0	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0
	Noise / Property Impacts			0			\bigcirc	0	0			0					\bigcirc	\bigcirc					\bigcirc	0		0
	Cost	\$377.3M	\$85.0M	\$33.0M	\$3.8M	\$3.7M	\$2.5M	\$11.1M	\$1.9M	\$7.1M	\$25.0M	\$0.9M	\$34.0M	\$19.3M	\$54.0M	\$5.0M	\$7.2M	\$1.9M	\$0.5M	\$1.2M	\$6.0M	\$5.0M	\$10.0M	\$10.0M	\$40.0M	\$37.0M





DelDOT

Evaluation Matrix – Projects Dropped from Consideration

Northbound I-95 Ramp to Chapman Road (V)



VILMAPCO



Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

RATING

Neutral

Most Adverse

35

Evaluation Matrix – Projects Dropped from Consideration





- Creates redundant movement to existing ramp at SR 273
- Projected to carry relatively low daily volume
- Additional interstate ramp between service plaza and SR 273 interchange creates more challenging driving environment for motorists along I-95 corridor
Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchman's Road (AA)







37

Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

RATING

Neutral

Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchman's Road (AA)





- Provides direct connection from Churchman's Road east of I-95 and from mall area to I-95, reducing volume at Churchman's Rd and SR 1 ramp intersections
- Creates new shorter weave between proposed ramp and major split for I-95/I-295
- With additional improvements to address long term needs on I-95, access to I-95 towards Wilmington and I-495 may ultimately be limited



RATING

Neutral

Southbound I-95 Ramp from Churchman's Road (BB)

39





Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

RATING

Neutral



RATING

Neutral

Christiana Mall Access Road – Bus Only (CC)







41

Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

RATING

Neutral



- Potentially significant challenges adding access to I-95 ramp
- Provides redundant movement to adjacent uncongested ramp

RATING

Neutral

Most Adverse

42

VILMAPCO

Christiana Mall Road A Extension – East (DD)



VILMAPCO



RATING

Neutral

Most Adverse



44





- Provides new multi-modal connections, including an eastern access to the mall area
- Considerable environmental impacts: new crossing of Christina River, floodplain, stream system
- Potential impacts to pre-historic resources
- Potential impacts to community park and adjacent communities west of Airport Road

RATING

Neutral

Eagle Run Road Connector to Samoset Drive (2nd I-95 Crossing) (HH)



VILMAPCO



Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

RATING

Neutral

Most Adverse

Eagle Run Road Connector to Samoset Drive (2nd I-95 Crossing) (HH)

	Transportation Improvement Alternative	Eagle Run Rd. Connector to Samoset Dr. (2nd I-95 Crossing)
	Мар	HH
	Connectivity	\bigcirc
	Extent of Effect – Person Miles Traveled	
	Congestion	
	Transit Enhancement Opportunities	\bigcirc
	Mode Share	\bigcirc
CRITERIA	Bicycle & Pedestrian Level of Traffic Stress	\bigcirc
CRIT	Economic Development / Re-Development Opportunities	\bigcirc
	Safety	\bigcirc
	Constructability / Engineering / Legal	
	Natural Environment Impacts	\bigcirc
	Cultural / Historic Resource Impacts	\bigcirc
	Noise / Property Impacts	
	Cost	\$54.0M

VILMAPCO



- Redundant connection across I-95, assuming Eagle Run Road to Continental Drive Connector (Project C) in RTP Financially Constrained list is completed
- Alignment could be considered as alternative for single crossing of I-95

RATING

Neutral



Brownleaf Road Extension (II)



47

VILMAPCO



RATING

 \bigcirc

Neutral



VILMAPCO



- Provides additional connectivity between neighborhoods west of Harmony Road and hospital area and businesses along Continental Drive
- Connectivity benefits would be influenced by opening of Samoset Drive / Continental Drive (Project JJ) and Eagle Run Road Connector to Samoset Drive over I-95 (Project HH)
- Additional traffic and potentially higher speeds in front of Robert S. Gallaher Elementary School and athletic fields south of school
- NOTE: Pedestrian/bicycle only connection is being retained

RATING

Neutral



Preliminary Transportation Project Recommendations



RTP Financially Constrained RTP Aspirations Other projects Additional projects for evaluation

Ν



VILMAPCO

Preliminary Transportation Project Recommendations



VILMAPCO

50



Ν

Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

Preliminary Transportation Project Recommendations Feedback

We welcome your feedback on projects that are being carried forward or that are being dropped from consideration.

You may provide input by:

- Providing comments or questions in the chat box at any time
- Participating in Q&A following tonight's presentation
- Using the comment form available on the project website http://www.wilmapco.org/Churchmans/



Preliminary Recommendations Poll Question

- The project team is recommending that the following seven projects be dropped from further consideration. Do you feel that the project team should continue studying any of these projects?
 - −Northbound I-95 Ramp to Chapman Road (V)
 - -Northbound I-95 Ramp from Churchman's Road (AA)
 - -Southbound I-95 Ramp from Churchman's Road (BB)
 - -Christiana Mall Access Road Bus Only (CC)
 - -Christiana Mall Road A Extension East (DD)
 - -Eagle Run Road Connector to Samoset Drive (2nd I-95 Crossing) (HH)
 - -Brownleaf Road Extension (Roadway) (II)
 - -None, I agree that all these projects should be dropped



Intersection Needs

- 101 signalized intersections in Churchman's Crossing
- Identified nine key intersections for detailed evaluation





Potential Implementation Tools

- Several tools can be used to implement a plan, including:
- » Future land use and zoning
- » Subdivision and building regulations
- » Concurrency (adequate public facilities)

- » Transportation Improvement Districts (TIDs)
- » Complete Community Enterprise Districts (CCEDs)



Transportation Improvement District (TID)- What is it?

Definition

- A geographic area defined to secure required improvements to transportation facilities in an area
- Described in the DelDOT's Development Coordination Manual

Purpose

- Proactively plan transportation improvements needed to support economic development
- Identify appropriate locations for economic development in a local comprehensive plan
- Outline transportation needs, improvements, schedules, and payment details

55





Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

Comparison of a TID to a Traffic Impact Study (TIS)

Traffic Impact Study

- Specific development proposal
- Development completion date
- Specific intersections meeting location and impact criteria
- Single development impact



Transportation Improvement District

- Future land use for the TID area
- 20 years into the future
- All key intersections in the TID area
- Cumulative impact



Why Consider a TID?

- Promotes intergovernmental coordination
- Establishes fair-share contributions to transportation improvements
- Manages transportation impacts
- Complements master plans
- Supports sustainable development and complete communities
- Fosters market-ready (re)development



Where Are TIDs In Delaware?





DelDO

Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

Implementation Strategies Poll Question

• On a scale of 1 to 5, how do you feel about the following statement?

A Transportation Improvement District (TID) could be an appropriate tool to implement the Churchman's Crossing Plan.

- 5 I strongly agree
- 4 I agree
- 3 Neutral / no opinion / need more information

- 2 I disagree
- 1 I strongly disagree



Complete Community Enterprise District (CCED) – What is it?

- Definition
 - A geographic area defined to create transit-oriented development districts
 - Defined in Chapter 21 of Title 2 Delaware Code
 - Recently revised by House Bill No. 18
 Signed 6/3/2021
- Purpose
 - Encourage "complete communities" that are transitfriendly, walkable, and bikeable
 - Encourage transportation improvements that can support reduced auto ownership

60

- Promote economic development





Requirements of a CCED

- Be a contiguous and compact shape that is not a linear corridor
- No more than nine square miles
- Zoned at a density high enough to enable frequent transit service
- Contain more area zoned for residential use than commercial uses
- Exempt from any municipal or county parking requirements
- Include adjacent neighborhoods within ½ mile of a bus or rail stop or existing or planned station
- Include enhanced mass transit routes
- Maximize the use of walking and bicycling
- Reduce travel speeds (goal of 25 mph or less)
- Limit roadway capacity expansion projects



Why Consider a CCED?

- Promotes intergovernmental coordination
- Provides multi-modal mobility "bonus" in DelDOT project scoring
- Fosters walkable, bikeable, transit-rich development

May be appropriate for a smaller sub-area within Churchman's Crossing



Implementation Strategies Poll Question

• On a scale of 1 to 5, how do you feel about the following statement:

A Complete Community Enterprise District (CCED) could be an appropriate tool to implement the Churchman's Crossing Plan.

- 5 I strongly agree
- 4 I agree
- 3 Neutral / no opinion / need more information

- 2 I disagree
- 1 I strongly disagree



Next Steps – Develop Preferred Concept Plan

- Final Travel Demand Model Run
 –Includes only "Retained" projects
- Confirm improvements necessary to provide area-wide arterial LOS D
- Refine pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvement recommendations
- Recommend implementation strategies

VILMAPCO







What is Ahead?





We are committed to keeping you informed about this important Plan Update:

- Project website: http://www.wilmapco.org/Churchmans/
- For questions, comments, or to sign up for project email updates, email Randi Novakoff at rnovakoff@wilmapco.org
- To reach co-project manager Dave Gula
 - -Email: dgula@wilmapco.org
 - -Phone: 302-737-6205 ext. 122



Questions & Answers

- Please use the "Chat" button in the Zoom Webinar Menu Bar at any time to enter your question
- The host and panelists are monitoring the Chat box to gather questions



- To speak your question, please raise your hand by using the "Raise Hand" button in the Zoom Webinar Menu Bar, or by pressing *9 when calling in on a phone
- The host will be notified of who has raised their hand, and will announce your name and ask you to unmute yourself when it is your turn
- Please unmute yourself by clicking "Unmute now", or by pressing *6 when calling in on a phone



 Depending on your settings, you may need to also click "Unmute" a second time in the lower left side of the menu bar





Questions and Answers

To speak your question, please raise your hand by using the "Raise Hand" button in the Zoom Webinar Menu Bar, or by pressing *9 when calling in on a phone

Comments can be submitted via the website at the following link: <u>https://forms.gle/x6PTVX7pJGkuaEig7</u>

Public Workshop #3 : Churchman's Crossing Plan Update

