Meeting Packet

- o TIP Development Process page 1
- Overall Project Prioritization Process page 2
- o CMAQ Project Prioritization Process page 6
- o Delaware Conformity Rule page 7
- Regionally Significant Project Guidelines page 25



WILMAPCO TIP Development Process FY 2016-2019 TIP

(Italic font refers to current FY2015-18 TIP)

2014							
January		Request for FY 2016-19 TIP submissions sent out (including submission for the FY 2015 UPWP)					
		Meet with local government to get project submissions					
February		Joint WILMAPCO/DelDOT public meeting to get feedback on submissions					
March		Deadline for project submissions, including DelDOT Pipeline					
April		NMTWG reviews bicycle and pedestrian submissions and technical scores for FY 2016-19 TIP CMS reviews submissions and congestion criteria technical scores for FY 2016-19 TIP					
	\triangleright	AQ reviews submissions and congestion criteria technical scores for FY 2016-19 TIP TAC reviews submissions and technical scores for FY 2016-19 TIP					
		TAC reviews submissions and technical scores for 1/1 2010-19 Th					
May		Council approves prioritized project list for inclusion in DelDOT FY 2016-21 CTP					
July		DelDOT provides proposed amendments to FY 2015-18 TIP based on state funding in the Delaware FY 2015 Bond Bill					
	≻	Council releases amendments to FY 2015-18 TIP for public comment period. Federally-funded					
A 4	~	and regionally significant amendments must reflect WILMAPCO priorities.					
August		Joint WILMAPCO/DelDOT public meeting to seek comments on proposed FY 2015-18 TIP amendments as needed					
	۶	PAC/TAC take action on amendments to FY 2015-18 TIP as needed					
September		Council amends FY 2015-18 TIP as needed					
October		DelDOT provides WILMAPCO with its submission to the FY 2016 Delaware Budget Office, incorporating WILMAPCO priorities, for discussion with TAC/AQS/Council					
December		DelDOT supplies WILMAPCO with revised project funding and descriptions 1st week for the FY 2016-19 TIP					
	\triangleright	PAC/TAC/AQ review draft FY 2016-19 TIP					
		Air Quality Conformity Determination completed					
2015							
January		FY 2016-19 TIP released for 30-day public comment (including local government/public outreach)					
February		Joint WILMAPCO/DelDOT workshop on draft FY 2016-19 TIP					
- 001 uui y		Revise FY 2016-19 TIP based on public comments					
		PAC/TAC adoption of FY 2016-19 TIP					
March	≻	Council adoption of FY 2016-19 TIP					

WILMAPCO

PROJECT PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

WILMAPCO has created a Prioritization process to evaluate transportation projects using measurable criteria based on the goals of our long-range plan. It provides a quantitative method to compare projects proposed for our Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

STEP 1: Apply screening criteria

- Is project consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan and local, county and state transportation plans and land use plans?
- If not, project should not be ranked or plan amendments should be made prior to ranking.

STEP 2: Staff calculates technical score

- Using available technical data, WILMAPCO Staff calculates a technical score for each project based on the goals and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan.
- Each goal has a similar point value, with the maximum for each project of 33 points.

STEP 3: WILMAPCO's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) reviews technical scoring for accuracy and proposes ranking considering:

- Technical score developed by staff
- Urgency of project
- Cost effectiveness/ life cycle costs
- Private/local funding match provided
- Project recommended in adopted transportation plan
- Submitting agency rankings by ensuring that top local priorities receive higher WILMAPCO ranking than lower local priorities
- Other issues not included in ranking
- Additional "special considerations" to break ties and serve as a reality check

STEP 4: WILMAPCO Council ranks submissions

Council ranks submissions considering:

- Technical score developed by staff and reviewed by TAC
- TAC proposed ranking
- Urgency of project
- Cost effectiveness/ life cycle costs
- Private/local funding match provided
- Project recommended in adopted transportation plan
- Submitting agency rankings by ensuring that top local priorities receive higher WILMAPCO ranking than lower local priorities
- Other issues not included in ranking
- Additional "special considerations" to break ties and serve as a reality check

Goal 1: Improve Quality of Life

<u>(Max. 10 points)</u>

- Protect the public health, safety and welfare
- Preserve our natural, historic and cultural resources
- Support existing municipalities and communities
- Provide transportation opportunity and choice

Criteria:

Air Quality – Project expected to improve air quality by:

- reducing emissions
- reducing VMT (Vehicle Miles Traveled)
- not adding capacity
- increasing access to non-auto modes
- 3 Project expected to substantially improve air quality (all four bullets apply)
- 1 Project expected to slightly improve air quality (2-3 bullets)
- 0 No expected air quality impact (does not add capacity)
- -3 Negative air quality impact expected

Environmental Justice– Project enhances environment in locations with a high percentage of low-income and/or minority residents. Supportive projects reduce risk of accidents, and/or enhance neighborhoods. Negative impacts include increased accident risk for vehicular and/or non-motorized traffic, displacement of homes or businesses, and/or increased traffic through neighborhoods.

- 3 Project supports environmental justice in area with high low-income or minority population
- 1 Project supports environmental justice in area with above average low-income or minority population
- 0 Project does not impact environmental justice
- -1 Project negatively impacts area with above average low-income or minority population
- -3 Project negatively impacts area with high low-income or minority population

Safety – An "aggregate" scoring system combines the absolute number of accidents and the rate at which accidents occur per 1 million miles of VMT to be used. Scoring is based on a 4-point maximum scale with 4 being the highest priority and zero being the lowest. Points are assigned based on the following:

Crash rate per 1 million miles VMT (past 5 years)

- Total number of crashes (past 5 years)
- 2 Greater than 3 times the County average
- 1 2 to 3 times County average
- 0 At or below the County average

2 200+ accidents

- 1 100-200 accidents
 - 0 Less than 100 accidents

Goal 2: Efficiently Transport People

(Max. 12 points)

- Improve transportation system performance
- Promote accessibility, mobility and transportation alternatives

Criteria:

Congestion Management System – Corridor improvement recommended in CMS or location with Level of Service (LOS) E or F

If recommended in CMS or LOS E/F*:

- 2 Project within a CMS corridor identified by the CMS Subcommittee
- 1 Road segment with LOS E or F but outside of identified CMS corridors

* If project meets the above CMS criteria, then the following two criteria will be calculated in addition to the points awarded above.

Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT)

- 4 Greater than 60,000 AADT
- 3 40,000 60,000 AADT
- 2 20,000 40,000 AADT
 - 0 Less than 20,000 AADT

Transit Usage—Transit Load Factor by segment based on the average # of riders vs. # of available seats.

- 3 Greater than 35% capacity
 - 2 25 35% capacity
 - 1 15 25% capacity
 - 0 Less than 15% capacity

Transportation Justice – Use percentage of zero-car households, elderly & persons with disabilities instead of lowincome/minority (thresholds as determined by EJ report, Phase II), identify projects that support non-motorized or transit alternatives.

- 3 Supportive project within an area of high concentrations of mobility-constrained populations
- 1 Supportive project within an area of moderate concentrations of mobility-constrained populations
- 0 Does not improve mobility or ease access to transportation choices

GOAL 3: Support Economic Activity and Growth

(Max 11 pts.)

- Ensure a predictable public investment program to guide private sector investment decisions
- Plan and invest to promote the attractiveness of the region

Criteria:

Freight – Scores using the three-tiered scoring defined in the WILMAPCO Freight & Goods Movement Analysis. Bottlenecks are identified using high truck trip generating traffic zones, areas of high truck crash frequencies and travel time delays which hamper the efficient movement of truck traffic which can effect economic growth and competitiveness.

- 4 "Significant Bottleneck" Refers to segments with multiple failing criteria, and generally includes roadways which carry the highest traffic volumes and experience heaviest congestion.
- 3 "Moderate Bottleneck" Refers to segments that are experiencing some failing, or nearly failing, criteria. There is more variation in scoring across the criteria, with some criteria demonstrating failure and others at more modest levels.
- 2 "Minor Bottleneck" Refers to segments that experience one or more criteria that are near failing. While most have only a few criteria showing near failure, others are at acceptable levels.
- 0 All other road segments

Support of Economic Development Initiatives – Projects that support economic development initiatives. Those include adding or improving access to brownfield locations; an existing or planned site used for employment, tourism, manufacturing, commercial or industrial purposes; or addresses an issue identified through regional economic development planning.

- For New Castle County, use DE Office of State Planning Policies and Spending map. Areas are defined as follows:
 - Investment Level 1: Dense areas within municipalities, urban places, high density areas and areas with infrastructure and services (i.e. sewer, water, transit, etc...).
 - Investment Level 2: Less developed municipal areas or fast-growing areas. Also identifies areas in which full services are expected or planned.
- For Cecil County, use the State Priority Funding Areas and County Certified Areas
- 3 Project located in Delaware Investment Level 1 area or Maryland Priority Funding Area
- 1 Project located in Delaware Investment Level 2 area or Cecil County Certified Area
- 0 Project not located in either of the above areas

Private or local funding contribution - Local and/or private commitment demonstrated by funding contribution

- 4 Greater than 80% through private/local funds
- 3 60-80% funded through private/local funds
- 2 40-60% funded through private/local funds
- 1 20-40% funded through private/local funds
- 0 Less than 20% through private/local fund

Qualitative Index*

							quantative mack			
ID	Project	TIP Page	Notes	I	Y15-18 TIP	Project Type	VMT	Cost	Life	Total
1	Transit Vehicle Expansion, NCC	2-81	Fixed-route only	\$	4,860,200	Transit	6	0	3	9
2	Rail: Newark Regional Transit Center	2-75		\$	30,800,000	Transit	3	0	6	9
3	Wilmington DART Bus Hub	2-86	total cost unknown	\$	5,280,000	Transit	0	0	6	6
4	Transit Vehicle Replacement and Refurbishment	2-36	Fixed-route only	\$	105,686,900	Transit	0	0	3	3
5	Boyds Corner Park and Ride Expansion	2-61		\$	395,000	Shared Ride	3	6	6	15
6	Rideshare Program, statewide	1-24		\$	480,000	Shared Ride	3	6	6	15
7	Rail Improvements: Fairplay Station Parking	2-75		\$	7,252,000	Shared Ride	3	0	6	9
8	Christiana Mall Park and Ride	2-61		\$	2,500,000	Shared Ride	3	0	6	9
9	US 13, Duck Creek to SR 1	2-62		\$	1,500,000	Traffic Flow	3	3	6	12
10	Wilmington Traffic Calming: Walnut: MLK Blvd. to 16th	2-66		\$	5,000,000	Traffic Flow	3	0	6	9
11	US 40: US 40/SR 72 Intersection (multimodal)	2-64		\$	18,750,600	Traffic Flow	3	0	6	9
12	SR 2 (Elkton Rd): MD Line to Casho Mill Rd. (multimodal)	2-57		\$	21,500,000	Traffic Flow	3	0	6	9
13	Wilmington Traffic Calming: 4th St: Walnut to I-95	2-66		\$	3,000,000	Traffic Flow	3	0	6	9
14	New Castle Industrial Track: S of Christina River - Riverwalk	2-73		\$	13,100,000	Ped/Bike	6	6	6	18
15	Grubb Road Ped. Improvements: Foulk Rd. to Naamans Rd.	2-46		\$	550,000	Ped/Bike	3	6	6	15
16	Myrtle Avenue Sidewalk Improvements	2-42		\$	1,400,000	Ped/Bike	3	3	6	12
17	Garasches Lane	2-45	total cost unknown	\$	800,000	Ped/Bike	3	0	6	9
18	Manor Avenue Sidewalk Improvements	2-42		\$	1,020,000	Ped/Bike	0	3	6	9

Interim CMAQ Project Priortization Process - Methodology

1. Rank projects by type, based on emissions reporting within FHWA's National CMAQ database and federal guidance. Priority by type is: 1. Diesel Retrofits and Replacements, 2. Transit, 3. Shared Ride, 4. I/M and other TCMS, 5. Traffic Flow, 6. Pedestrian/Bicycle

2. Within project types, sort by quantitative emission benefits for diesel projects and qualitative benefits for others. Quantitative benefits can be determined from EPA calculators. An index determining the qualitative benefit follows.

*Qualitative Index

Reduce VMT - negligible (0); moderate (3); significant (6) Cost - >2 million (0); \$500,000 - \$2 million (3); <\$500,000 (6) Life expectancy - <5 years (0); 5-10 years (3); >10 years (6)

PROLOGUE:

Under federal SAFETEA-LU legislation that took effect on August 10, 2005 the federal transportation conformity requirements have been modified to streamline the requirements for state conformity SIPs. Regulation 1132 has been amended to comply with this legislation.

REGULATION NO. 1132– TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY

<u>11/11/2007</u> **1.0 Purpose.**

The purpose of this regulation is to implement Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), and the related requirements of 23 U.S.C. 109(j), with respect to the interagency consultation process and commitments to transportation control and mitigation measures.

This regulation only addresses the requirements of 40 CFR 93.105, 40 CFR 93.122(a)(4)(ii), and 40 CFR 93.125(c). All other conformity requirements are not addressed by this Regulation, and are imposed solely pursuant to federal requirements.

All references to 40 C.F.R. Part 93 and 23 CFR Part 450 in this regulation refers to the Code of Federal Regulation publication of July 1, 2007.

11/11/2007 **2.0 Definitions.**

"Applicable implementation plan" means the portion (or portions) of the implementation plan, or most recent revision thereof, which has been approved under CAA Section 110, as amended, or promulgated under CAA Section 110(c), as amended, or promulgated or approved pursuant to regulations promulgated under CAA Section 301(d), as amended and which implements the relevant requirements of the CAA.

"CAA" means the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.).

"Consultation Process Work Group" means the body of agency representatives, as identified in 3.0 of this Regulation that are responsible for implementing this Regulation.

"Control strategy implementation plan revision" means the implementation plan which contains specific strategies for controlling the emissions of and reducing ambient levels of pollutants in order to satisfy CAA requirements for demonstrations of reasonable further progress and attainment (including implementation plan revisions submitted to satisfy CAA Sections 172(c), 182(b)(1), 182(c)(2)(A),

Final Draft Regulation 1132 1 of 18 182(c)(2)(B), 187(a)(7), 187(g), 189(a)(1)(B), 189(b)(1)(A), and 189(d); Sections 192(a) and 192(b), for nitrogen dioxide; and any other applicable CAA provision requiring a demonstration of reasonable further progress or attainment).

"DelDOT" means the Delaware Department of Transportation

"Department" means the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

"Design concept" means the type of facility identified by the project, e.g., freeway, expressway, arterial highway, grade-separated highway, reserved right-of-way rail transit, mixed-traffic rail transit, exclusive busway, etc.

"Design scope" means the design aspects which will affect the proposed facility's impact on regional emissions, usually as they relate to vehicle or person carrying capacity and control, e.g., number of lanes or tracks to be constructed or added, length of project, signalization, access control including approximate number and location of interchanges, preferential treatment for high-occupancy vehicles, etc.

"DOT" means the United States Department of Transportation._

"Dover/Kent County MPO" means the regional metropolitan planning organization for coordinating transportation planning in the Dover Urbanized area and the balance of Kent County. Members of the MPO Council include the Delaware Department of Transportation, the Delaware Transit Corporation, a representative of the Governor of Delaware, the City of Dover, Kent County municipalities and Kent County Levy Court. Membership in the MPO is established by the MPO agreement and is subject to change.

"EPA" means the Environmental Protection Agency.

"FHWA" means the Federal Highway Administration of DOT._

"FHWA/FTA project, for the purpose of this regulation" means any highway or transit project which is proposed to receive funding assistance and approval through the Federal-Aid Highway program or the Federal mass transit program, or requires Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or Federal Transit Administration (FTA) approval for some aspect of the project, such as connection to an interstate highway or deviation from applicable design standards on the interstate system._

"FTA" means the Federal Transit Administration of DOT.

"Highway project" means an undertaking to implement or modify a highway facility or highway-related program. Such an undertaking consists of all required phases necessary for implementation. For analytical purposes, it must be defined sufficiently

to:

(1) Connect logical termini and be of sufficient length to address environmental matters on a broad scope;

(2) Have independent utility or significance, i.e., be usable and be a reasonable expenditure even if no additional transportation improvements in the area are made; and

(3) Not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements.

"Hot-spot analysis" means an estimation of likely future localized CO (Carbon Monoxide), PM_{10} (Particulate Matter, 10 microns) and $PM_{2.5}$ (2.5 microns) pollutant concentrations and a comparison of those concentrations to the national ambient air quality standards. Hot-spot analysis assesses impacts on a scale smaller than the entire nonattainment or maintenance area, including, for example, congested roadway intersections and highways or transit terminals, and uses an air quality dispersion model to determine the effects of emissions on air quality.

"Maintenance area" means any geographic region of the United States previously designated nonattainment pursuant to the CAA Amendments of 1990 and subsequently redesignated to attainment subject to the requirement to develop a maintenance plan under Section 175A of the CAA, as amended._

"Metropolitan planning organization (MPO)" means the policy board of an organization created as a result of the designation process in 23 U.S.C. 134(d).

"*Milestone*" has the meaning given in CAA Sections 182(g)(1) and 189(c), as amended, for serious and above ozone nonattainment areas and PM₁₀ nonattainment areas, respectively. For all other nonattainment areas, a milestone consists of an emissions level and the date on which that level is to be achieved as required by the applicable CAA provision for reasonable further progress towards attainment.

"Motor vehicle emissions budget" means that portion of the total allowable emissions defined in the submitted or approved control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for a certain date for the purpose of meeting reasonable further progress milestones or demonstrating attainment or maintenance of the NAAQS, for any criteria pollutant or its precursors, allocated to highway and transit vehicle use and emissions.

"National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS)" mean those standards established pursuant to Section 109 of the CAA.

"NEPA" means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42

Final Draft Regulation 1132 3 of 18 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

"Nonattainment area" means any geographic region of the United States which has been designated as nonattainment under Section 107 of the CAA for any pollutant for which a national ambient air quality standard exists.

"Project" means a highway project or transit project.

"Regionally significant project" means a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

"Salisbury/Wicomico MPO" means the regional metropolitan planning organization for coordinating transportation planning in the portion of the urbanized area which includes Delmar, Delaware. Members of the MPO Council include the Delaware Department of Transportation (non-voting), the Maryland Department of Transportation, Wicomico County (MD), City of Salisbury (MD), City of Fruitland (MD), Town of Delmar (MD), Town of Delmar (DE) (non-voting), and the Tri-County Council for the Lower Eastern Shore of Maryland. Membership in the MPO is established by the MPO agreement and is subject to change.

"Standard" means a national ambient air quality standard.

"Transit" means mass transportation by bus, rail, or other conveyance which provides general or special service to the public on a regular and continuing basis.- It does not include school buses or charter or sightseeing services._

"*Transit project*" means an undertaking to implement or modify a transit facility or transit-related program; purchase transit vehicles or equipment; or provide financial assistance for transit operations. It does not include actions that are solely within the jurisdiction of local transit agencies, such as changes in routes, schedules, or fares. It may consist of several phases. For analytical purposes, it must be defined inclusively enough to:

(1) Connect logical termini and be of sufficient length to address environmental matters on a broad scope;

(2) Have independent utility or independent significance, i.e., be a reasonable expenditure even if no additional transportation improvements in the area are made; and

(3) Not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements.

"Transportation control measure (TCM)" means any measure that is specifically identified and committed to in the applicable implementation plan, including a substitute or additional TCM that is incorporated into the applicable SIP through the process established in CAA section 176(c)(8), that is either one of the types listed in CAA section 108, or any other measure for the purpose of reducing emissions or concentrations of air pollutants from transportation sources by reducing vehicle use or changing traffic flow or congestion conditions.

"Transportation improvement program (TIP)" means a transportation improvement program developed by a metropolitan planning organization under 23 U.S.C. 134(j).

"Transportation plan" means the official intermodal metropolitan transportation plan that is developed through the metropolitan planning process for the metropolitan planning area, developed pursuant to 23 CFR Part 450.

"Transportation project" means a highway project or a transit project.

"WILMAPCO" means the Wilmington Area Planning Council, as designated by the Governors of Delaware and Maryland, is the MPO for New Castle County, Delaware and Cecil County, Maryland. Within the framework of Federal law and regulation, it serves as the transportation planning coordinating agency for the two-county WILMAPCO region, and its policies are established by the WILMAPCO Council, whose members are a representative of the Governors of Delaware and Maryland; the Delaware Secretary of Transportation, the Director of the Delaware Transit Corporation, the Mayor of Wilmington, the County Executive of New Castle County, New Castle and Cecil Counties Municipalities' representatives, and Cecil County Commissioner.

"Written commitment for the purposes of this regulation" means a written commitment that includes a description of the action to be taken; a schedule for the completion of the action; a demonstration that funding necessary to implement the action has been authorized by the appropriating or authorizing body; and an acknowledgment that the commitment is an enforceable obligation under the applicable implementation plan.

11/11/2007

3.0 Consultation.

3.1. General.

This regulation provides procedures for interagency consultation (Federal, State, and local) and resolution of conflicts. Such consultation procedures

Final Draft Regulation 1132 5 of 18 shall be undertaken by WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, DelDOT and DOT with the Department and EPA before making conformity determinations, and by the Department and EPA with WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, DelDOT, and DOT in developing applicable implementation plans.

- 3.2. Interagency consultation procedures: General factors.
 - 3.2.1. Agency representation, roles and responsibilities.
 - 3.2.1.1. Representatives of WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, the Department and DelDOT shall undertake an interagency consultation process in accordance with this section and with local or regional offices of EPA, FHWA, and FTA on the development of the implementation plan, the list of TCMs in the applicable implementation plan, the unified planning work program under 23 CFR §-450.314, the transportation plan, the TIP, any revisions to the preceding documents, and all conformity determinations required by 40 CFR Part 51 and 93.
 - 3.2.1.2. The Department shall be the lead agency responsible for assuring the adequacy of the interagency consultation process with respect to the development of applicable implementation plans and control strategy implementation plan revisions and the credits associated with the list of TCMs in the applicable implementation plan. In their respective areas, WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO or the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, shall be the lead agency responsible for preparing the final document or decision and for assuring the adequacy of the interagency consultation process with respect to the development of the unified planning work program under 23 CFR §-450.314, the transportation plan, the TIP, and any amendments or revisions thereto. In the case of non-metropolitan areas, DelDOT shall be the lead agency responsible for preparing the final document or decision and for assuring the adequacy of the interagency consultation process with respect to the development of the Statewide transportation plan, the STIP, and any amendments or revisions thereto. The Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, and WILMAPCO shall be the lead agency responsible for preparing the final document or decision and for assuring the adequacy of the interagency consultation process with respect to any determinations of conformity under this regulation for which the MPO is responsible.
 - 3.2.1.3. In addition to the lead agencies identified in 3.2.1.2, other agencies entitled to participate in any interagency consultation process under this

regulation include DelDOT, WILMAPCO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO and the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Federal Highway Administration regional office and State division office, the Federal Transit Administration regional office, the US Environmental Protection Agency, the Maryland Department of the Environment, the Maryland Department of Transportation, the Department, and any local transportation agency or local government.

- It shall be the role and responsibility of each lead agency in an 3.2.1.4. interagency consultation process, as specified in 3.2.1.2, to confer with all other agencies identified under 3.2.1.3 with an interest in the document to be developed, provide all appropriate information to those agencies needed for meaningful input, solicit early and continuing input from those agencies, conduct the consultation process described in 3.2, where required, assure policy-level contact with those agencies, and, (except for actions subject to 3.3.1.6) prior to taking any action, consider the views of each such agency and respond to those views submitted in a timely, substantive written manner prior to any final decision on such document, and assure that such views and written response are made part of the record of any decision or action. It shall be the role and responsibility of each agency specified in 3.2.1.2, when not fulfilling the role and responsibilities of a lead agency, to confer with the lead agency and other participants in the consultation process, review and provide written comments on all proposed and final documents and decisions in a timely manner, attend consultation and decision meetings, assure policy-level contact with other participants, provide input on any area of substantive expertise or responsibility (such as planning assumptions, modeling, information on status of TCM implementation, and interpretation of regulatory or other requirements), and provide technical assistance to the lead agency or consultation process in accordance with this paragraph when requested.
- 3.2.1.5. Specific roles and responsibilities of various participants in the interagency consultation process shall be as follows:
 - 3.2.1.5.1. The Department shall be responsible for developing:

3.2.1.5.1.1.	emissions inventories,
3.2.1.5.1.2.	emissions budgets,
3.2.1.5.1.3.	air quality modeling,
3.2.1.5.1.4.	attainment demonstrations,

Final Draft Regulation 1132 7 of 18

- 3.2.1.5.1.5. control strategy implementation plan revisions,
- 3.2.1.5.1.6. updated motor vehicle emissions factors, and
- 3.2.1.5.1.7. involving the WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT continuously in the process;
- 3.2.1.5.2. The Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or WILMAPCO shall be responsible, for:
 - 3.2.1.5.2.1. developing transportation plans, Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWP) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIP)
 - 3.2.1.5.2.2. evaluating Transportation Control Measures (TCM) impacts based on technical support provided by DelDOT,
 - 3.2.1.5.2.3. approving transportation and socioeconomic data and planning assumptions and providing such data and planning assumptions to the Department and DelDOT for use in air quality analysis to determine conformity of transportation plans, TIPs, and projects,-
 - 3.2.1.5.2.4. monitoring implementation of regionally significant projects as identified in the TIP;

3.2.1.5.2.5. approving TCMs, providing input to policy decisions on emissions budgets, assuring the proper and timely completion of transportation modeling, regional emissions analyses and documentation of timely implementation of TCMs needed for conformity assessments.

- 3.2.1.5.3. DelDOT shall be responsible for:
 - 3.2.1.5.3.1. developing Statewide transportation plans and TIPs,
 - 3.2.1.5.3.2. providing technical comments on motor vehicle emissions inputs,
 - 3.2.1.5.3.3. distributing draft and final air quality documents to other agencies,

Final Draft Regulation 1132 8 of 18

- 3.2.1.5.3.4. convening air quality technical review meetings on specific projects when requested by other agencies or as necessitated by changes in schedule or scope,
- 3.2.1.5.3.5. providing timely travel demand forecasting and onroad mobile source emission inventories, and

3.2.1.5.3.6. involving WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO and the Department continuously in the Consultation Process as described in this section;

- 3.2.1.5.4. The Department of Transportation, Division of Motor Vehicles shall be responsible for providing data such as motor vehicle registration data for use in the on-road mobile source emissions model;
- 3.2.1.5.5. FHWA and FTA shall be responsible for:
 - 3.2.1.5.5.1. assuring timely action on final findings of conformity, after consultation with other agencies as provided in this section and 40 CFR § 51.402.
 - 3.2.1.5.5.2. providing guidance on conformity and the transportation planning process to agencies in interagency consultation; and
- 3.2.1.5.6. EPA shall be responsible for:
 - 3.2.1.5.6.1. reviewing and approving updated motor vehicle emissions factors, and-
 - 3.2.1.5.6.2. providing guidance on conformity criteria and procedures to agencies in interagency consultation-
- 3.2.2. Consultation Process Work Group procedures
 - 3.2.2.1. As described herein, various agencies have the primary responsibility as lead agency for the preparation, development, and/or performance of the various tasks required as part of the conformity and attainment processes. These agencies shall form a CONSULTATION PROCESS WORK GROUP (Work Group). As part of the consultation process described herein, it shall be the affirmative obligation of each

Final Draft Regulation 1132 9 of 18 such lead agency having the responsibility for preparation of a final document as set forth in this section to initiate the consultation process by notifying other participants and convening a PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE (Task Force) composed of the other members of the Work Group. Such Task Force shall be chaired by the representative of the lead agency, unless the group, by consensus, selects another chair. Each such Task Force will begin consultation meetings early in the process of developing the final document, and shall prepare all drafts and final documents and major supporting documents, or appoint the representatives or agencies that will prepare such documents. The Work Group and each Task Force shall be made up of policy level representatives or their designees and shall be assisted by such technical committees or technical engineering, planning, public works, air quality and administrative staff of member agencies as the Work Group deems appropriate. The chair of each Task Force shall appoint the conveners of technical meetings and shall be responsible for the ongoing and continuous process described herein. The lead agency shall assure that all relevant documents and information are supplied to all participants in the informal and formal consultation process in a timely manner.

In the event that an agency member of the Work Group or Task Force other than the lead agency would like to convene the Work Group or Task Force, either in a formal or informal session to discuss any matter concerning or related to this regulation, said agency shall notify the lead agency of its specific request and the lead agency shall, within seven (7) days, convene a session of the Work Group or Task Force.

- 3.2.2.2. Regular consultation on major activities such as the development of an implementation plan revision, the development of a transportation plan, the development of a TIP, or any determination of conformity of transportation plans or TIPs, shall include meetings of the Work Group on a regular scheduled basis as shall be determined by the consensus of the work group, but no less than on a semi-annual basis, until an attainment demonstration is approved by EPA.
- 3.2.2.3. At each meeting of the Work Group, the following shall be reviewed and approved:
 - 3.2.2.3.1. The schedule for all formal meetings;
 - 3.2.2.3.2. The status and schedule for delivery of all documents, materials or products required to be developed by these

regulations;

- 3.2.2.3.3. The status and schedule of all Standing Committee and/or Sub-Committee activities;
- 3.2.2.3.4. All Public Meetings, Hearings and/or other public involvement.
- 3.2.2.4. The Work Group may establish Standing Sub-Committees or Sub-Committees of limited duration when the Work Group determines that such are necessary to accomplish specific objectives or tasks.
- 3.2.2.5. As described in this section, various agencies have the primary obligation for the preparation, development, performance and/or the responsibility (legal or otherwise) to be the lead agency for the various tasks required as part of the conformity-attainment process. It shall be the affirmative responsibility of each such lead agency to involve each of the other agencies, on an informal basis and in an ongoing, continuous manner in the said preparation, development, performance, etc., as frequently as possible without detracting from said agency's ability to complete the task.
- 3.2.2.6. For the purposes of any activity relating to this regulation in New Castle County, the Air Quality Subcommittee of the WILMAPCO Technical Advisory Committee shall have all Work Group authorities described in this regulation.
- 3.2.2.7. For the purposes of any activity relating to this regulation in Delmar, Delaware, the technical advisory committee of the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO shall have all Work Group authorities described in this regulation.
- 3.2.3. Each lead agency for any Task Force or Sub-Committee, as part of the interagency consultation process under this section (including any Federal agency) shall provide each final document that is the product of such consultation process (including applicable implementation plans or implementation plan revisions, transportation plans, TIPs. and determinations of conformity), together with all supporting information, to each other agency that has participated in the consultation process within 30 calendar days of adopting or approving such document or making such Any such agency may supply a checklist of available determination. supporting information, which such other participating agencies may use to request all or part of such supporting information, in lieu of generally distributing all supporting information.

- 3.2.4. A meeting that is scheduled or required for another purpose may be used for the purposes of consultation if the conformity consultation purpose is identified in the public notice for the meeting.
- 3.3. Interagency consultation procedures: Specific processes
 - 3.3.1. An interagency consultation process in accordance with 3.2 shall be undertaken for the following:
 - 3.3.1.1. Evaluating and choosing each model (or models) and associated methods and
 - 3.3.1.2. Determining and providing written notification to the affected agencies (i.e., by letter from the Chairman to be included in the documentation)_which minor arterials and other transportation projects should be considered "regionally significant" for the purposes of regional emissions analysis (in addition to those functionally classified as principal arterial or higher or fixed guide_way systems or extensions that offer an alternative to regional highway travel), and which projects should be considered to have a significant change in design concept and scope from the transportation plan or TIP, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;
 - 3.3.1.3. Evaluating whether projects otherwise exempt should be treated as non-exempt in cases where potential adverse emissions impacts may exist for any reason, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;
 - 3.3.1.4. Making a determination, whether past obstacles to implementation of TCMs which are behind the schedule established in the applicable implementation plan have been identified and are being overcome, and whether State and local agencies with influence over approvals or funding for TCMs are giving maximum priority to approval or funding for TCMs, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2. This consultation process shall also consider whether delays in TCM implementation necessitate revisions to the applicable implementation plan to remove TCMs or substitute TCMs or other emission reduction measures;
 - 3.3.1.5. Making a determination whether a project should be included in the regional emissions analysis supporting the TIP's conformity determination, even if the project is not strictly included in the TIP for the purposes of MPO project selection or endorsement, and whether the project's design concept and scope have not changed significantly from those which were included in the regional emissions analysis, or in a

manner which would significantly impact use of the facility, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2.

Identifying projects located at sites in PM $_{2.5}$ nonattainment areas which have vehicle and roadway emission and dispersion characteristics which are essentially identical to those at sites which have violations verified by monitoring, and therefore require quantitative PM₁₀ hot-spot analysis, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;

- 3.3.1.6. Notification of transportation plan or TIP revisions or amendments which merely add or delete exempt projects listed in 40 CFR §93.126, to be initiated by WILMAPCO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, or DelDOT in their respective areas, and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2, other than the requirement that such notice be provided prior to final action;
- 3.3.1.7. Determining what existing or forecast of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) to use in establishing or tracking emissions budgets, developing transportation plans, TIPs, or applicable implementation plans, or making conformity determinations, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;
- 3.3.1.8. Determining what constitutes "reasonable professional practice" for the purpose of funding projects and performing emission analysis within the context thereof, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2.
- 3.3.1.9. Determining whether the project sponsor or MPO has demonstrated that the requirements of 40 CFR §93.118, and 40 CFR §93.124 are satisfied without a particular mitigation or control measure, as provided in 40 CFR §93.125, to be initiated by the Department and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;
- 3.3.1.10. Any decision made under 3.3.1 shall be conveyed in writing to all member agencies.
- 3.3.2. An interagency consultation process in accordance with 3.2 shall be undertaken for the following:
 - 3.3.2.1. Evaluating events which will require new conformity determinations to be initiated by WILMAPCO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, or DelDOT in their respective areas, and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2;

- 3.3.2.2. Consulting on emissions analysis for transportation activities which cross the borders of MPOs, or nonattainment areas, to be initiated by WILMAPCO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, or DelDOT in their respective areas, and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2.
- 3.3.3. Where the metropolitan planning area does not include the entire nonattainment or maintenance area, an interagency consultation process in accordance 3.2 involving the MPO and the State Department of Transportation(s) shall be undertaken for cooperative planning and analysis for purposes of determining conformity of all projects outside the metropolitan area and within the nonattainment or maintenance area, to be initiated by WILMAPCO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or the Dover/Kent County MPO in their respective areas, and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2.
- 3.3.4. Regionally significant project policy and procedures
 - 3.3.4.1. An interagency consultation process in accordance with 3.2 and including recipients of funds designated under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act shall be undertaken to assure that plans for construction of regionally significant projects which are not FHWA/FTA projects (including projects for which alternative locations, design concept and scope, or the no-build option are still being considered), including all those by recipients of funds designated under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act are disclosed to the MPO on a regular basis, and are included in the TIP.
 - 3.3.4.2. The sponsor of any such regionally significant project, and any agency that is responsible for taking action(s) on any such project (or otherwise) shall disclose such project to the MPO in a timely manner. Such disclosure shall be made not later than the first occasion on which any of the following actions is sought: any policy board action necessary for the project to proceed, the issuance of administrative permits for the facility or for construction of the facility, the execution of a contract to design or construct the facility, the execution of any indebtedness for the facility, any final action of a board, commission or administrator authorizing or directing employees to proceed with design, permitting or construction of the project, or the execution of any contract to design or construct or any approval needed for any facility that is dependent on the completion of the regionally significant project. To help assure timely disclosure, the sponsor of any potential regionally significant project shall disclose to the MPO

Final Draft Regulation 1132 14 of 18 annually, not later than June 1 for the TIP currently being developed each year, each project for which alternatives have been identified through the NEPA process, and in particular, any preferred alternative that may be a regionally significant project.

- 3.3.4.3. In the case of any such regionally significant project that has not been disclosed to the MPO and other interested agencies participating in the consultation process in a timely manner, such regionally significant project shall not be considered to be included in the regional emissions analysis supporting the currently conforming TIP's conformity determination and not to be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget in the applicable implementation plan.
- 3.3.5. An interagency consultation process in accordance with 3.2 involving the MPO and other recipients of funds designated under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act shall be undertaken for developing assumptions regarding the location and design concept and scope of projects which are disclosed to the MPO as required by 3.3.4 but whose sponsors have not yet decided these features, in sufficient detail to perform the regional emissions analysis according to the requirements of 40 CFR §93.122, to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.2.
- 3.3.6. An interagency consultation process in accordance with 3.2 shall be undertaken for the design, schedule, and funding of research and data collection efforts related to regional transportation model development (such as household/ travel transportation surveys), to be initiated by DelDOT and conducted in accordance with 3.2.
- 3.4. Submittal process for determinations and amendments

Conformity is an affirmative responsibility of the Federal agency supporting the action. This final determination will be based on information developed by WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT in their respective areas, but FHWA/FTA will make an independent determination.

To accomplish this determination, the following procedures must be followed:

3.4.1 The completed air quality conformity determination, necessary supporting documentation and the TIP will be submitted to the FHWA Division Office and the FTA Regional Office. The FHWA Division Office will forward a copy of the conformity determination and TIP (including both highway and transit projects) to the EPA Regional Office for review and comment. EPA will respond in writing, to the FTA Regional Office and FHWA Division Office, as soon as possible but not

> Final Draft Regulation 1132 15 of 18

later than 30 days from the date of the FHWA transmittal.

- 3.4.2. EPA comments will be resolved by FHWA and FTA, in concert with EPA, with WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT in their respective areas, as necessary.
- 3.4.3. FHWA and FTA will jointly prepare correspondence to make the conformity finding. Joint conformity findings will be addressed to WILMAPCO (with a copy to DelDOT), to the Dover/Kent County MPO (with a copy to DelDOT), the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO (with a copy to DelDOT) or to DelDOT in their respective areas, with copies to EPA and FTA. The findings of FTA and FHWA together constitute the DOT conformity findings.
- 3.4.4. The FHWA Division Office will send a copy of the signed conformity determination and the TIPs to the Regional Office.
- 3.4.5. In the event that WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT in their respective areas, wishes to amend the TIP to add projects that are exempt from the conformity analysis requirement, FHWA or FTA or both, if necessary, will concur in the amendment and re-affirm the original DOT conformity finding by letter. This re-affirmation letter will reference the date(s) of the original FHWA and FTA findings. In cases where the amendment involves projects that are not exempt, a new conformity analysis and determination will be required from WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT in their respective areas, and will, in turn, require a new DOT conformity finding.
- 3.4.6. TIP amendments from non-attainment areas that require a new or revised conformity determination (i.e., addition of new exempt projects or scope changes to existing exempt projects in the TIP) require an FHWA/FTA conformity determination prior to being added to the TIP and STIP.
- 3.5. Department concurrence.
 - 3.5.1. It is the responsibility of the Department to evaluate a complete conformity determination made by WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO or DelDOT in their respective areas, and a minimum of seven (7) working days shall be provided to the Department to perform this evaluation. The Department must concur with this determination within 14 days of the date after the agency initiates public notice in any such final determination of conformity. If the Department does not take action within 14 days of such notice of public notice, WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO, the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO

Final Draft Regulation 1132 16 of 18 or DelDOT, in their respective areas, may proceed with the final determination.

- 3.5.2. Any conflict among State agencies or between State agencies and WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO or the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO shall be escalated to the Governor if the conflict cannot be resolved by the heads of the involved agencies within 30 days of the Department finding of non-concurrence. In the first instance, such agencies shall make every effort to resolve any difference, including personal meetings between the heads of such agencies or their policy-level representatives, to the extent possible.
- 3.5.3. The Governor may delegate the role of hearing any such appeal under this subsection and of deciding whether to concur in the conformity determination to another official or agency within the State, but not to the head or staff of the Department, DelDOT, a State transportation commission or board, any agency that has responsibility for only one of these functions, WILMAPCO, the Dover/Kent County MPO or the Salisbury/Wicomico MPO.
- 3.6. Public consultation procedures.

Agencies making conformity determinations (MPOs, DelDOT, etc. as appropriate) on transportation plans, programs, and projects shall establish and continuously implement a proactive public involvement process which provides opportunity for public review and comment prior to taking formal action on a conformity determination for all transportation plans and TIPs consistent with the requirements of 23 CFR part 450, including §450.316(b)(1), §450.322(c), and §450.324(c). In addition, any such agency must specifically address in writing all public comments that known plans for a regionally significant project which is not receiving FHWA or FTA funding or approval have not been properly reflected in the emissions analysis supporting a proposed conformity finding for a transportation plan or TIP. Any such agency shall also provide opportunity for public involvement in conformity determinations for projects to the extent otherwise required by law (such as NEPA). The opportunity for public involvement provided under this subsection shall include reasonable access to information, emissions data, analyses, models and modeling assumptions used to perform a conformity determination, and the obligation of any such agency to consider and respond to significant comments. No transportation plan, TIP, or project may be found to conform unless the determination of conformity has been subject to a public involvement process in accordance with this subsection, without regard to whether the DOT has certified any process under 23 CFR Part 450.

11/11/2007

4.0 Written Commitments for Control and Mitigation Measures

- 4.1 Written commitments for control measures that are not included in the transportation
- 4.2 Written commitments for mitigation measures must be obtained prior to a positive conformity determination, and that project sponsors must comply with such commitments.

Final Draft Regulation 1132 18 of 18

Code of Federal Regulations

Title 40 - Protection of Environment

Volume: 21 Date: 2012-07-01 Original Date: 2012-07-01 Title: Section 93.101 - Definitions. Context: Title 40 - Protection of Environment. CHAPTER I - ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CHAPTER I - ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (CONTINUED). SUBCHAPTER C - AIR PROGRAMS (CONTINUED). PART 93 - DETERMINING CONFORMITY OF FEDERAL ACTIONS TO STATE OR FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS.

Subpart A - Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Laws.

Regionally significant project means a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

From: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title40-vol21/xml/CFR-2012-title40-vol21-sec93-101.xml